

# Appendix A

Soils Investigation Report – TREK North Kildonan Feedermain  
Detailed Design – Geotechnical Report



Quality Engineering | Valued Relationships

## North Kildonan Feedermain Detailed Design - Geotechnical Report

**Prepared for:**

Associated Engineering  
203 - # Five Donald Street  
Winnipeg, Manitoba  
R3L 2T4

**Distribution:**

Mr. Colin McKinnon , P.Eng., PMP  
Mr. Jason Lueke, Ph.D.,P. Eng.

**Project Number:**

0115 004 00

**Date:**

January 15, 2014



Quality Engineering | Valued Relationships

January 15, 2014

Our File No. 0115 004 00

Mr. Colin McKinnon, P.Eng., PMP  
Associated Engineering  
203 - # Five Donald Street  
Winnipeg, Manitoba  
R3L 2T4

**RE: North Kildonan Feedermain – Geotechnical Report**

---

TREK Geotechnical Inc. is pleased to submit our geotechnical report for the detailed design of the North Kildonan Feedermain

Please contact Nelson Ferreira if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

**TREK Geotechnical Inc.**  
**Per:**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "N. Ferreira", written over a light blue circular stamp.

Nelson John Ferreira, M.Sc., P.Eng.  
Principal  
Tel: 204.975.9433

NJF:jh  
Encl.

## Revision History

Revision No.	Author	Issue Date	Description
0	NJF	January 15 2014	Final Report

## Authorization Signatures




Prepared By:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Nelson John Ferreira, M.Sc., P.Eng.  
Geotechnical Engineer



Reviewed By:

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ken Skafffeld, P.Eng.  
Senior Geotechnical Engineer

## Table of Contents

Letter of Transmittal

Revision History and Authorization Signatures

1.0	Introduction and Background .....	1
2.0	Review of Existing Information .....	1
3.0	Sub-Surface Investigation .....	2
4.0	Sub-surface Conditions .....	3
4.1	General Soil and Bedrock Stratigraphy .....	3
4.1.1	Clay Fill .....	4
4.1.2	Lacustrine Clay .....	4
4.1.3	Alluvial Deposits .....	4
4.1.4	Silt Till .....	5
4.1.5	Limestone Bedrock .....	5
4.2	Groundwater Conditions .....	6
4.2.1	Bedrock Aquifer .....	7
4.2.2	Overburden .....	7
5.0	Riverbank Stability Analysis .....	7
5.1	Design Objective .....	7
5.2	Slope Stability Analysis .....	8
5.3	Numerical Model Description .....	8
5.4	Stability Modelling Results .....	9
5.4.1	West Riverbank .....	9
5.4.2	East Riverbank .....	9
6.0	Geotechnical Considerations and Recommendations .....	10
6.1	Feedermain Alignment and Profile .....	10
6.2	Erosion Protection .....	10
6.3	Excavations and Shoring .....	11
6.3.1	General .....	11
6.3.2	Cohesive Soils .....	11
6.3.3	Non-cohesive Soils .....	12

6.4	Horizontal Directional Drilling .....	13
7.0	Permitting .....	13
8.0	Closure.....	13
9.0	References .....	14

## List of Tables

Table 4.1	Groundwater Monitoring Data (TREK THs) .....	6
Table 4.2	Groundwater Monitoring Data (KGS THs).....	6
Table 5.1	Soil Properties used in Stability Modeling.....	9
Table 6.1	Riprap Gradation .....	10
Table 6.2	Recommended Design Parameters for Cantilevered Walls - Cohesive Soils.....	12
Table 6.3	Recommended Design Parameters for Cantilevered Walls in Alluvial Soils.....	6

## List of Figures

Figure 01	TH13-01 Core Samples
Figure 02	TH13-05 Core Samples
Figure 03	Slope Stability Model Output Example
Figure 04	West Riverbank (October 23, 2013)
Figure 05	East Riverbank (November 8, 2013)

## List of Appendices

Appendix A	Test Hole Logs
Appendix B	Test Hole Logs (By Others)
Appendix C	Laboratory Testing Results
Appendix D	Unconfined Compressing Testing Results (bedrock cores)

## 1.0 Introduction and Background

The existing North Kildonan feedermain was constructed in 1972 and is a critical component of the City of Winnipeg's water distribution system. Where it crosses the Red River just north of the Kildonan Settlers Bridge, the feedermain consists of a 600 mm diameter steel pipe placed on top of the channel bottom (Drawing 01). A major leak in the pipe at the river crossing occurred in the fall of 2012 and temporary repairs to the pipe were completed in the spring of 2013. As part of the current Operating and Capital Works budget, the City of Winnipeg plans to replace the river crossing segment of the feedermain in 2014.

A preliminary engineering study was completed by Associated Engineering in July 2013 with geotechnical support from TREK Geotechnical Inc. (TREK). The geotechnical work was a desktop study using existing soil and groundwater information and limited stability modelling. Based on the outcome of the preliminary study, the Water and Waste Department determined that horizontal directional drilling (HDD) is the preferred method of installation for the new pipe segment and Associated Engineering proposed a new feedermain alignment to suit this installation method. In support of detailed design, the geotechnical scope of work carried out by TREK includes review of existing information, a sub-surface investigation, assessment of sub-surface conditions, in particular the condition of the bedrock, a riverbank slope stability analysis, and recommendations for stabilization and erosion protection works if required. This report summarizes the geotechnical component of the detailed design.

## 2.0 Review of Existing Information

Existing information was reviewed for geotechnical information pertinent to the project. The information was provided by various departments within the City of Winnipeg (Water and Waste, Public Works, Waterways) and Associated Engineering. The information reviewed includes the following:

- **Preliminary Engineering for the Rehabilitation or Replacement of the North Kildonan Feedermain (Associated Engineering July 2013):** The report includes relevant historical information, a preliminary riverbank stability assessment, and geotechnical considerations and recommendations for various new feedermain rehabilitation or replacement options.
- **North Kildonan Feedermain Record Drawings (Various Years):** As-built drawings from 1972 for the current feedermain (Drawing No.:D-1251) contained relevant information on riverbank and riverbed geometry, indicated the riverbanks in the vicinity of the feedermain had been improved and a riprap blanket had been placed on the riverbank.
- **Forcemain Sub-Surface Investigation (KGS Group, November 2012):** Three test holes were drilled into limestone bedrock on the south side of the Settlers Bridge and piezometers were installed into overburden soils and bedrock to measure groundwater levels. Test holes logs are included in Appendix B.

- **Settlers Bridge Design and Construction (Various Reports 1988 to 1990):** Relevant information includes test logs, record drawings of the construction works which included riprap and riverbank stabilization on the west bank (rock columns), and performance monitoring results related to ground movements and groundwater levels. Test hole logs are included in Appendix B.
- **Aerial Photos (Various Years from 1948 to 2008):** Aerial photo interpretation was undertaken on stereo pairs to identify historical riverbanks movements or evidence of historical riverbank erosion.
- **Survey Information** – Survey information included Lidar survey (2008) and a river bed profile along the existing feedermain provided by the COW. Barnes & Duncan Land Surveying and Geomatics completed a detailed survey in the fall of 2013 of the riverbank and sonar soundings of the riverbed in the vicinity of the existing and proposed feedermain.

A site plan and cross-sections were generated (Drawing 01 to 04) from the information gathered and collected during this assignment which includes test hole locations, relevant bridge works, bridge monitoring instrumentation locations, and interpreted soil and bedrock units.

### 3.0 Sub-Surface Investigation

A sub-surface investigation was carried out along the proposed feedermain alignment to supplement existing information in the general area of the crossing. The intent of the investigation was to determine sub-surface conditions that may impact the constructability and performance of the proposed feedermain such as the presence of wet silts and sands (potential to slough), delineation of alluvial and lacustrine soils (riverbank stability implications) and competence of the bedrock (hydraulic fracturing, loss of drill fluid).

Four test holes were initially planned along the proposed feedermain alignment; one test hole at each riverbank and two within the river channel. The riverbank test holes (TH13-01 and TH13-04) were to be drilled just into the bedrock on the east and west banks respectively to obtain information primarily for riverbank stability assessment and shoring. The test holes within the channel (TH 13-02 and TH13-03) were to be drilled 18 m into bedrock to determine conditions to the proposed depth of the new feedermain installation within the rock. These test holes were to be drilled off of a barge before freeze-up as ice conditions in the winter to support drilling equipment are known to be poor; open water is common in this channel section immediately downstream of the outfall from the North End Water Pollution Control Centre. However, the barge could not be launched due to low river levels at the time of the investigation (early November) and drilling test holes within the channel was therefore not possible. The sub-surface investigation was subsequently modified to exclude the channel test holes but obtain additional bedrock information at the riverbank locations. This included drilling to a greater depth on the east side of the river (TH13-01) and adding an additional deep test hole on the west bank (TH13-05).



TH13-01, TH13-04 and TH13-05 were drilled on November 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>, 2013 at the locations shown on Drawing 01. Drilling was performed by Paddock Drilling Ltd. (Brandon, MB) under the supervision of TREK personnel. Test holes were drilled using an Acker SS3 and CME-850 track mounted drill rigs equipped with either 125 mm diameter augers or 170 mm hollow stem augers. Test holes were drilled to power auger refusal where the drilling method was switched to HQ coring equipment to advance the test holes. TH13-01 and TH13-05 were drilled approximately 20 m into bedrock (~37m total depth) while TH13-04 was drilled approximately 4 m into bedrock (~22 m total depth). A standpipe piezometer was installed in the bedrock in TH13-04 and TH13-05 to measure short term groundwater levels in the bedrock. Standpipe piezometers were installed in each of the alluvial and bedrock units in TH 13-01 to measure short term levels in these two units and determine vertical flow direction (gradient).

Sub-surface soils observed during the drilling were visually classified based on the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Other pertinent information such as drilling, groundwater and backfill conditions was also recorded. Samples retrieved during drilling include disturbed grab (auger flight) samples, relatively undisturbed Shelby tubes, and bedrock core. All samples were transported to TREK's laboratory in Winnipeg, Manitoba for laboratory testing and further classification. Laboratory testing consisted of moisture content determination on all samples. Undrained shear strength testing (pocket penetrometer, Torvane and unconfined compression) and unit weight determination was also completed on select samples. Unconfined compression test were performed on select rock core samples at Thurber Engineering Ltd.'s Laboratory in Edmonton, Alberta.

Test hole logs are attached in Appendix A and include soil and rock descriptions, the elevation of soil and rock units encountered and other pertinent information such as groundwater levels and sloughing conditions. Laboratory testing results on soil samples are included on the individual test hole logs in Appendix A or separately in Appendix C and Appendix D (unconfined compression test results bedrock core samples). Test hole locations were surveyed by Barnes & Duncan Land Surveying and Geomatics. Existing test hole logs from previous investigations by the KGS Group (KGS) and Dyregrov and Burgess in the immediate area of the crossing are included in Appendix B with their locations shown on Drawing 01. Test holes by Dyregrov and Burgess are referred to herein as TH1 to 23 and DMT 1 to 7 (dilatometer test). The KGS test holes drilled in 2012 at the forcemain are referred to herein as TH 12-01, TH 12-02 and TH 12-03.

## **4.0 Sub-surface Conditions**

### **4.1 General Soil and Bedrock Stratigraphy**

The soil stratigraphy on the west riverbank generally consists of lacustrine clay with shallow silt layers (TH1 to TH4, TH12-03). A thin veneer (1.5 m thick) of alluvial clay was encountered in TH5 and TH13-04 which increased in thickness to 9 m towards the river (TH12).

The east riverbank generally consists of alluvial clays, silts, sands and gravels (TH13-01 drilled on the east bank along the proposed alignment). A lacustrine clay layer is evident in TH12-01, 06 and

TH07 on the east bank and tends to increase in thickness away from the river. Lacustrine clay was not encountered in the east riverbank along the proposed feedermain (TH13-01).

Based on information from previous geotechnical investigations for the bridge, the riverbed stratigraphy is expected to consist of relatively thin alluvial deposits overlying till and limestone bedrock. Till and bedrock may be exposed in areas of the riverbed (based on observations by divers during a recent inspection).

The interpreted soil and bedrock units are shown in cross-section on Drawing 02 and a brief description of these units is provided below. Where the descriptions provided include a consolidation of test hole data from previous investigations they are referred to herein as “overall”. Where the results are specific to test holes drilled by TREK along the feedermain alignment, they are referred to as such. All interpretations of soil stratigraphy for the purposes of design should refer to the detailed test hole logs in Appendix A. Information from investigations by others attached in Appendix B cannot be corroborated by TREK and should therefore be considered as supplemental information only.

#### **4.1.1 Clay Fill**

Clay fill was encountered on the east riverbank in TH13-01 which was drilled through an existing road bed. The clay fill is silty and contains trace gravel and trace organics, brown, moist, stiff and of high plasticity. Moisture contents range from 23% to 26%, with an average of 25%.

#### **4.1.2 Lacustrine Clay**

The lacustrine clay is silty, brown to grey, moist, and of high plasticity. Trace silt inclusions are present throughout the stratum and sand and gravel inclusions are present near the silt till contact. Overall, undrained shear strengths on relatively undisturbed (Shelby Tubes) samples range from 23kPa to 75 kPa with an average of 50 kPa, indicating a soft to firm consistency. In comparison, the average undrained shear strength in TH13-04 drilled along the feedermain alignment is 44.5 kPa. Overall bulk unit weights range from 16.2 to 18.3 kN/m<sup>3</sup> with an average of 16.9 kN/m<sup>3</sup> compared to an average of 18 kN/m<sup>3</sup> in TH 13-04. Moisture contents range from 28% to 61%, with an average of 50% in TH 13-04.

Silt layers were encountered within the upper 2 to 4 m of the lacustrine clay at test hole locations outside of vicinity of the river channel and at prairie elevation (*e.g.* TH 3). The silt is light brown, moist to wet, soft, and contains varying amounts of fine grained sand.

#### **4.1.3 Alluvial Deposits**

##### West Riverbank

Alluvial clay was encountered at the surface of four test holes in the vicinity of the riverbank on the west bank (TH13-04, TH5, TH12, and TH13). The thickness of the layer ranges from 1.5 m (TH13-04) to 9 m in TH 12 drilled along the bridge alignment. The alluvial clay is silty, brown becoming grey with depth, moist, stiff and of medium to high plasticity. An undrained shear strength of 23 kPa

was measured on one sample in TH12 where the thickest layer was encountered. Overall bulk unit weights range from 17.7 to 18 with an average of 17.8 kN/m<sup>3</sup>. Moisture contents range from 25% to 28% based on two samples.

#### East Riverbank

Alluvial soils on the east river bank consist of varying proportions of clay, silt, sand, and gravel as identified in TH13-01, TH6 to 8, TH12-01 and TH12-02. The consistency of the alluvial soils varies considerably over short distances ranging from loose (soft) to dense (stiff) and moist to saturated depending on the location of the water table. An undrained shear strength of 23 and 53 kPa and a bulk unit weight of 18.7 kN/m<sup>3</sup> was measured in TH13-01. Moisture contents range from 18% to 37% with an average of 30% in TH13-01.

#### **4.1.4 Silt Till**

Silt till is present below the lacustrine and alluvial deposits at an overall contact elevation between 210.7 and 216.0 m with average overall contact elevation of 213.4 m. The till matrix is predominately low plastic silt with varying amounts of clay, sand, and gravel and can contain cobbles and boulders. The till is light brown, moist to wet, loose to dense. Standard Penetration Tests blow counts (N) of 8 to 50 blows per 300mm with an average of 28 were measured in the till in TH13-01 (N= 29, 50) and TH12-02 (N=8).

#### **4.1.5 Limestone Bedrock**

The till is underlain with bedrock at overall contact elevations between 209.2 and 211.0 m. Along the feedermain alignment, the contact elevation is estimated to be 210.2 m. The bedrock at the west riverbank consisted of dolomite or dolomitic limestone while the east riverbank consists of mudstone, dolomitic mudstone, dolomite and dolomitic limestone. The bedrock is brown to grey, vuggy, and can contain chert nodules, laminations, and calcareous mudstone. The bedrock units encountered are consistent with geological maps of the area which indicate that the crossing is located on either side of a geological contact between the Selkirk Member and the lower part of the Fort Garry Member of the Red River Formation.

The top metre of bedrock may be broken, highly fractured, or consists of thinly bedded rock. Horizontal and vertical fractures were noted throughout the bedrock units below this upper zone. The fractures tended to be rough and undulating with some of the fractures being in-filled with clay (rock flour). The bedrock is considered to be generally sound (RQD > 70% over 3.0m) which is consistent with previous sub-surface investigations. Photos of core samples are shown on Figure 01 & 02. Test holes drilled along the proposed alignment indicate the bedrock at the east riverbank (TH13-01) contains two zones of unsound bedrock; RQDs of less than 35% were recorded between elevations 207.5 m to 202.7 m and elevations 196.6 m to 193.5 m. A zone of unsound bedrock was also encountered in the vicinity of TH16 during drilling of test holes during design of the bridge.

Unconfined compressive strengths testing was completed on 7 bedrock core samples chosen to reflect the geology at both the west and east riverbanks at variable elevations, in different bedrock types and in bedrock of differing quality. The measured unconfined compressive strengths range from 11.9 to

49.1 MPa with an average 32.3 MPa. These values are consistent with strength testing data from Manitoba Department of Energy and Mines for the Selkirk Member and Lower Fort Garry Member (Bannatyne, 1988). Results from unconfined compression testing are included in Appendix D.

## 4.2 Groundwater Conditions

Groundwater conditions observed by TREK at the time of drilling are shown as notes on individual test hole logs. These notes refer to depths of seepage observed in open holes drilled using solid stem augers and water levels recorded in open holes immediately after retrieving augers and/or drill casing. Seepage conditions could not be observed when test holes were advanced using hollow stem augers and drill casing. Seepage conditions are also indicated on test hole logs prepared by others (Dyregrov and Burgess, KGS). These logs indicate seepage and sloughing can occur within the wet alluvial soils, silt till and near-surface silt layers. It is important to recognize that the short-term groundwater levels observed may vary seasonally, after heavy precipitation events or as a result of construction activities.

Piezometric (groundwater) elevations measured in TREK's test holes drilled along the proposed feedermain alignment are summarized in Table 4.1 with levels as of November 28<sup>th</sup> 2013 shown on the individual test hole logs in Appendix A. Groundwater levels measured along the forcemain south of the bridge are summarized in Table 4.2.

**Table 4.1 – Groundwater Monitoring Data (TREK THs)**

TREK Test Hole # > Piezometer # > Piezometer Tip Elev. (m) > Geologic Unit >	TH13-01 SP1A 207.24 Bedrock	TH13-01 SP1B 215.17 Alluvial Sand	TH13-04 SP4 205.55 Bedrock	TH13-05 SP5 191.21 Bedrock	River Level At Bridge
Date	Geodetic Elevation (m)				
6-Nov-13	-	-	222.97	-	-
7-Nov-13	223.18	222.99	-	-	-
14-Nov-13	-	-	223.16	223.30	-
28-Nov-13	223.18	222.41	223.24	223.30	221.92

**Table 4.2 – Groundwater Monitoring Data (KGS THs)**

Test Hole # > Piezometer Type > Piez. Tip Elev. (m) > Geologic Unit >	TH12-02 SPT 202.31 Bedrock	TH12-02B SPT 210.76 Silt Till	TH12-02B PN 216.86 Silty Sand	TH12-03 STP 200.82 Bedrock	TH12-03B STP 209.86 Silt Till	TH 12-03B PN 219.00 Silty Clay	River Level At Bridge
Date	Geodetic Elevation (m)						
15 May-13	225.05	225.2	223.26	225.11	225.20	226.04	226.33

#### **4.2.1 Bedrock Aquifer**

Groundwater levels in the bedrock aquifer on November 28<sup>th</sup> range from elevation 223.2 to 223.3 m compared to a river elevation of 221.9 m. This river elevation is representative of winter river levels which are drawn down from a regulated summer water elevation of about 223.7 m. Groundwater levels in the bedrock aquifer in May of 2013 were at about elevation 225.1 m compared to a spring river level of 226.3 m. Historical data collected from a Provincial observation well about 1.5 km west of the crossing indicates that regional groundwater levels are highest during the period from October through June with the lowest levels in late July or early August. The measured groundwater levels at the site are therefore likely reflective of the high end of the seasonal range of regional groundwater levels.

Groundwater levels in the till at the site compare well with bedrock aquifer levels indicating the two geologic units are hydraulically connected. During the high river stage in May of 2013, the river level was about 1.2 m higher than measured in the bedrock aquifer while in November of 2013, levels in the aquifer were about 1.3 m above the river level. These results show that a hydraulic connection exists between the river and aquifer but that groundwater levels may be influenced by regional levels; groundwater flow may be downward during high river levels (in particular when the river stage is higher than regional levels) and upward during low river levels when the regional groundwater levels remain higher than the river stage.

#### **4.2.2 Overburden**

A slight downward flow is evident between the alluvial soils on the east riverbank and the river in late November 2013 after the river has drawn down (TH 13-01). In May of 2013 however, the piezometric elevation measured in the silty sand at the forcemain south of the bridge on the east riverbank was considerably lower than the river stage (Elev. 223.3 m compared with a river Elev. of 226.3 m as seen in TH 12-02B). This reading is unexpected as groundwater levels in permeable riverbank soils are generally strongly influenced by river levels (i.e. higher levels in the silty sand would be expected at this river stage). The pneumatic piezometer installed in TH12-02B may be malfunctioning (common for pneumatic piezometers). In this regard, further instrumentation monitoring along the feedermain will be undertaken in the spring of 2014 to assess seasonal groundwater levels at a high river stage, especially in the alluvial soils.

## **5.0 Riverbank Stability Analysis**

### **5.1 Design Objective**

The design factor of safety (FS) associated with riverbank instabilities must reflect the uncertainty in parameters used in the analysis and the consequences of continued movements (e.g. creep movements) or failure of the riverbank. In this regard, riverbanks with a minimum FS greater than 1.3 are considered to be relatively stable, however, creep movements are possible. A factor of safety greater than 1.5 was therefore selected as the design objective for the stability of the ground through

which the feedermain passes since ground movements are unlikely to occur and in recognition of the consequences of a failure.

## 5.2 Slope Stability Analysis

A slope stability analysis was conducted on 5 cross-sections (Cross-Section A to E) along and near the feedermain to evaluate the riverbank stability in the vicinity of the feedermain. The cross-sections were generated from the survey information. The locations of the analyzed cross-sections are shown in plan on Drawing 01 and in section on Drawings 02 to 04. Iterations were performed to determine the set-back distance where the stability of the riverbank was greater than a Factor of Safety (FS) of 1.5 under typical groundwater conditions (*i.e.* to satisfy the design objective).

## 5.3 Numerical Model Description

The stability analysis was conducted using a steady-state finite element (FEM) seepage model (Seep/W) and a limit-equilibrium slope stability model (Slope/W) from the GeoStudio 2007 software package (Geo-Slope International Inc.).

The seepage model determined seepage gradients which were then incorporated into the stability model to calculate factors of safety against slope instabilities. Seepage gradients through the lacustrine and alluvial clays are common in riverbanks within Winnipeg; downward seepage occurs in the upper bank area, while upward seepage from the glacial till or bedrock aquifer occurs beneath the toe of the riverbank, in particular at low river levels. Consistent with the monitoring results, the groundwater elevation in the glacial till was assumed to be 1.3 m above the Winter River level for all modeling cases. Groundwater levels within the riverbank were set at approximately 2.0 m depth below ground surface in the upper bank area. A Winter River Level (WRL) at Elevation 221.8 m was used in the analysis. Flow in the unsaturated zone was not considered in the model.

The slope stability model used the Morgenstern-Price method of slices to calculate factors of safety. Critical local and global slip surfaces were determined using a grid and radius slip surface method. The soil units used in the model include the lacustrine soils (clay), alluvial soils, embankment fill, and till encountered on each bank as shown in Drawing 02. A till contact elevation of 212.0 m was assumed in both the seepage and stability models.

Table 5.1 lists soil properties used for the soil units in the numerical modeling. The soil properties assumed for the lacustrine soils are considered appropriate for Winnipeg clays along riverbanks which have experienced movements in the past. The strength properties selected for the alluvial soils are reflective of a clayey silt which is considered to be close to the lower bound of possible strength values for alluvial soils. The abutment fill properties were assigned identical properties as the alluvial soils. Properties assumed for the till are reflective of a loose to compact silt, sand and gravel matrix. The denser till and/or bedrock units are not incorporated as slip surfaces will tend not to extend into the till in any case; they typically run along the weaker clay at the till contact.

**Table 5.1 - Soil Properties used in Stability Modeling**

Soil Description	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Cohesion (kPa)	Friction Angle (deg)	Hydraulic Conductivity (m/s)
Lacustrine Clay	17	5	14	1x10 <sup>-10</sup>
Alluvial Soils	18	2	23	1x10 <sup>-09</sup>
Glacial Till	19	10	30	1x10 <sup>-07</sup>
Abutment Fill (Clay)	18	2	23	1x10 <sup>-09</sup>

## 5.4 Stability Modelling Results

The stability analysis was run on both sides of the channel to determine the minimum FS for each riverbank and the geometry of the theoretical slip surface associated with a minimum FS of 1.5. The analysis indicates the existing FS for both banks (for the critical slip surface) is greater than 1.3. The location of the point on the ground which coincides with a minimum FS of 1.5 is shown as a set-back in plan on Drawing 05 and in section in Drawing 06. The proposed entry/exit points for the new feedermain and the pipe alignment are located within the riverbank beyond these set-backs and the pipe profile remains outside of a theoretical slip surface associated with a FS of 1.5 (i.e. the stability of the ground at all points along the pipe alignment is greater than 1.5). An example slope stability model output has been included as Figure 03.

### 5.4.1 West Riverbank

Cross section B is perpendicular to a localized steeper portion of the riverbank as shown on Drawing 01. A minimum FS of 1.38 was calculated along Cross-section B with the entry point of a theoretical slip surface with a FS of 1.5 shown on Drawing 05. However, the minimum factors of safety for Cross-Section A and C, located on either side of the proposed feedermain, are greater than 1.5. Cross-sections A and C are cut perpendicular to the bank where the grades are flatter and considered more representative of the overall riverbank geometry at this location. In our opinion, the overall stability of the west riverbank in the vicinity of the proposed feedermain satisfies the design objective and therefore a set-back distance is not required.

### 5.4.2 East Riverbank

The minimum FS for at the east riverbank along Cross-section D and E is 1.34 and 1.35, respectively. Cross-section A was not analyzed since the section is not aligned perpendicular to the riverbank and therefore not considered to be representative. The entry point of theoretical slip surfaces along Sections D and E with a FS of 1.5 are shown as a set-back line on Drawing 05.

## 6.0 Geotechnical Considerations and Recommendations

### 6.1 Feedermain Alignment and Profile

The entry/exit points and profile for the proposed feedermain (Drawing 05 and Drawing 06) are located within the riverbank on both sides where the factor of safety against slope instabilities is greater than 1.5. Bank stabilization works are not considered necessary. If the profile on the east riverbank is modified to be within the set-back indicated, additional slope stability analysis will need to be undertaken to determine if riverbank stabilization measures are required.

### 6.2 Erosion Protection

A riprap blanket in the lower bank area was placed in 1970s based on recorded drawings and anecdotal information. The recorded drawing indicates that riprap was placed on each riverbank along the feedermain, and in the vicinity of the outfalls, but the extent and details of the riprap blanket are not clearly noted. The lower bank area was visually inspected in late October and early November 2013 during lower levels (Figures 04 & 05). Existing riprap was visible on the west riverbank as indicated on Drawing 05, however, the extent and integrity of the riprap could not be verified. There was no visual evidence of riprap on the east riverbank. It is suspected that the riprap on both banks may have been largely covered by river deposits over the years. Erosion of the lower bank area below an approximately elevation 226 m is evident on both riverbanks (Figures 04 & 05).

It is recommended that a riprap blanket be placed in the lower bank area to supplement the existing riprap and minimize the potential for toe erosion which will result in a reduction in stability over time. The riprap blanket should extend 1.5m below normal summer river level at an elevation of 222.6 m while the upper limit of the blanket will depend on areas requiring cover. The maximum recommended extent of the riprap blanket is illustrated in plan on Drawing 05 and in section in Drawing 07 and may be modified to suit site conditions during construction. The stability of the riverbank was re-assessed with the inclusion of a riprap blanket and had a negligible change in the calculated FS.

The riprap should consist of durable, quarried limestone with particle sizes ranging from 100 mm to 450 mm in diameter. The riprap should be placed on top of non-woven geotextile (Geotex 801 or equivalent) at a thickness of 0.75 m. Table 6.1 shows the proposed riprap gradation.

**Table 6.1 – Riprap Gradation**

Sieve Size (mm)	Percent Passing	
	Min	Max
450	100	100
300	25	50
100	0	15



Vegetation above the riprap blanket will help minimize erosion above and behind the blanket. Bare areas and areas disturbed due to construction activities above the riprap blanket will need to be re-vegetated. Topsoil and seeding or placement of sod is an acceptable means of re-establishing vegetation.

## **6.3 Excavations and Shoring**

### **6.3.1 General**

It is our understanding that excavations less than 5 m deep on either riverbank will be required for installation of the proposed feedermain and to make the necessary connections with the existing pipe. Open excavations and cantilevered walls may be suitable for excavations up to 3 to 4 m. Braced walls may be required for deeper excavations where temporary shoring is necessary.

All excavations must be carried out in compliance with the appropriate regulation(s) under the Manitoba Workplace Safety and Health Act and in this regard, it is anticipated that trench cages and/or temporary shoring may be required. Where open excavations are made, flattening of side slopes may be required, in particular if saturated soils (silt and alluvial soils) are encountered. Gravel buttresses could be used to prevent wet silts and sands from flowing into excavations, in conjunction with sump pits used to dewater the excavation.

Considerable difficulties can be expected when advancing excavations below the water table in alluvial soils on the east side of the river. Depending on the depth of excavation, dewatering wells may be required to lower water levels to below the base of the excavation; the requirement for this will depend on water levels at the time of construction. Basal instability associated with groundwater pressure in the till is not expected to be of concern based on the anticipated excavation depth (<5m). Once the final design is complete the need for groundwater control, working mat, etc. can be reviewed and appropriate recommendations made at that time. In this regard, additional information on groundwater levels should be obtained when shoring design is carried out and during construction.

A certain amount of ground movement behind the shoring will occur, and is largely unavoidable. The amount of movement that will occur cannot be accurately predicted, mainly because the movement is as much a function of excavation procedures and workmanship as it is a function of theoretical considerations. It is anticipated that the design of temporary shoring will be the responsibility of the Contractor. The proposed shoring design should be reviewed prior to construction and the performance of the excavation system monitored during and subsequent to construction.

### **6.3.2 Cohesive Soils**

In clay and clay fill soils, a bulk unit weight of 18.0 kN/m<sup>3</sup> should be used for the clay/clay fill units, and 19.0 kN/m<sup>3</sup> for clayey silts for the calculation of lateral earth pressures. Cantilevered (unbraced) walls should be designed using the earth pressure coefficients outlined in Table 6.2 for the appropriate earth pressure condition. Braced excavations in cohesive soils should be designed using the earth pressure distributions shown on Drawing 08. The effect of any surcharge loads must be

added to the force on the wall in addition to the calculated earth pressures, as noted in the figures. The appropriate earth pressure condition should be used to calculate the lateral earth pressure due to surcharge loads.

**Table 6.2. Recommended Design Parameters for Cantilevered Walls - Cohesive Soils**

Earth Pressure Condition	Earth Pressure Coefficient
	Clay / Clay Fill
Active ( $K_a$ )	0.5
At-rest ( $K_0$ )	0.65
Passive ( $K_p$ )	2.0
	Clayey Silts
Active ( $K_a$ )	0.4
At-rest ( $K_0$ )	0.60
Passive ( $K_p$ )	2.3

### 6.3.3 **Non-cohesive Soils**

In non-cohesive soils (e.g. sands and gravels), cantilevered walls should be designed to resist lateral pressures based on a triangular earth pressure distribution defined as follows using the earth pressure coefficients (K) outlined in Table 6.3 for the appropriate earth pressure condition.

$$P = K \gamma D$$

Where P = lateral earth pressure at depth D (kPa)  
 K = earth pressure coefficient  
 $\gamma$  = soil/backfill unit weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)  
 D = depth below ground surface (m)

A bulk unit weight of 21 kN/m<sup>3</sup> for silts and sands should be used for the calculation of lateral earth pressures where water pressure will not be present behind the wall. Where water pressures are present, the soil unit weight should be reduced to its submerged (buoyant) weight to calculate the lateral earth pressure and the water pressure should be added.

**Table 6.3 Recommended Design Parameters for Cantilevered Walls in Alluvial Soils**

Earth Pressure Condition	Earth Pressure Coefficient
Active ( $K_a$ )	0.35
At-rest ( $K_0$ )	0.5
Passive ( $K_p$ )	2.9

Braced excavations in the non-cohesive soils should be designed using the apparent earth pressure distribution shown on Drawing 09. Hydrostatic pressure below the water table and the effect of any surcharge loads must be added to the force on the wall in addition to the calculated earth pressures. The appropriate earth pressure condition should be used to calculate the lateral earth pressure due to surcharge loads.

#### **6.4 Horizontal Directional Drilling**

Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) may be affected by the following geotechnical considerations:

- Varying soil deposits above bedrock including lacustrine clay, alluvial soils, and silt till,
- Varying water levels in soil units and the potential for seepage, sloughing and caving in alluvial and till units below the water table,
- Varying bedrock conditions and strengths,
- Potential for hydro fracturing,
- Vertical fractures in the shallow bedrock may provide a seepage path for drill fluid to discharge into the river.
- Bedrock fractures may be infilled with clay (rock flour), particularly in zones of unsound bedrock

#### **7.0 Permitting**

Prior to construction, a City of Winnipeg Waterways permit is required. This report will form part of the application submission for the permit along with additional details related site access, stock piling and other pertinent construction activities that may impact riverbank stability.

#### **8.0 Closure**

The geotechnical information provided in this report is in accordance with current engineering principles and practices (Standard of Practice). The findings of this report were based on information provided (field investigation, laboratory testing, geometries). Soil conditions are natural deposits that

can be highly variable across a site. If sub-surface conditions are different than the conditions previously encountered on-site or those presented here, we should be notified to adjust our findings if necessary.

All information provided in this report is subject to our standard terms and conditions for engineering services, a copy of which is provided to each of our clients with the original scope of work, or a mutually executed standard engineering services agreement. If these conditions are not attached, and you are not already in possession of such terms and conditions, contact our office and you will be promptly provided with a copy.

This report has been prepared by TREK Geotechnical Inc. (the Consultant) for the exclusive use of Associated Engineering (the Client) and their agents for the work product presented in the report. Any findings or recommendations provided in this report are not to be used or relied upon by any third parties, except as agreed to in writing by the Client and Consultant prior to use.

## **9.0 References**

Bannatyne, B.B, 1988. Dolomite Resources of Southern Manitoba. Manitoba Energy and Mines Geological Services, Economic Geology Report ER85-1

## Figures


---



Figure 01 : TH13-01 Core Samples



Figure 02 : TH13-05 Core Samples



Z:\Projects\0115 Associated Engineering\0115 004 00 Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain\2 Design\2.7 Modelling\  
 29/11/2013 4:42:36 PM

Figure 03 Slope Stability Model Output



Figure 04: West Riverbank (October 23, 2013)



Figure 05: East Riverbank (November 8, 2013)





## **Drawings**

---

Tabloid (279mm x 432mm)

PLOT: 15/01/2014 12:10:22 PM

FILE NAME: 0115 004 00\_RS.dwg



**LEGEND :**

	TEST HOLE (TREK, 2013)		SLOPE INDICATORS		TEST HOLE (DYREGROV, 1988)		DILATOMETER TESTING (DYREGROV, 1998)		ROCK COLUMNS
	TEST HOLE (KGS, 2012)								


- NOTES :**
- ROCK COLUMNS SHOWN ARE NOT TO SCALE
  - 2008 AERIAL IMAGE IN PROVIDED BY CITY OF WINNIPEG
  - SURVEY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY BARNES AND DUNCAN LAND SURVEYERS AND CITY OF WINNIPEG (LIDAR)

Tabloid (279mm x 432mm)


PLOT: 15/01/2014 12:11:52 PM

FILE NAME: 0115 004 00\_RS.dwg

**CROSS-SECTION A**




**NOTE:**



GROUND WATER LEVEL IN STANDPIPE  
PIEZOMETER NOVEMBER 28, 2013

W.W.L. = WINTER WATER LEVEL  
R.S.W.L. = REGULATED SUMMER WATER LEVEL




Tabloid (279mm x 432mm)



PLOT: 15/01/2014 12:12:36 PM

FILE NAME: 0115 004 00\_RS.dwg

**CROSS-SECTION B**



**CROSS-SECTION C**




Tabloid (279mm x 432mm)



PLOT: 15/01/2014 12:14:18 PM

FILE NAME: 0115 004 00\_RS.dwg

**CROSS-SECTION D**





**CROSS-SECTION E**



Tabloid (279mm x 432mm)

PLOT: 15/01/2014 12:28:24 PM

FILE NAME: 0115 004 00\_RS.dwg



**LEGEND:**

	TEST HOLE (TREK, 2013)		SLOPE INDICATORS
	TEST HOLE (DYREGROV, 1988)		DILATOMETER TESTING (DYREGROV, 1988)
	TEST HOLE (KGS, 2012)		ROCK COLUMNS



- NOTES:**
1. ROCK COLUMNS SHOWN ARE NOT TO SCALE
  2. 2008 AERIAL IMAGE IN PROVIDED BY CITY OF WINNIPEG
  3. SURVEY INFORMATION PROVIDED BY BARNES AND DUNCAN LAND SURVEYERS AND CITY OF WINNIPEG (LIDAR)

Tabloid (279mm x 432mm)

PLOT: 15/01/2014 12:36:10 PM

FILE NAME: 0115 004 00\_RS.dwg


**CROSS-SECTION A**




Tabloid (279mm x 432mm)

PLOT: 15/01/2014 12:37:20 PM


FILE NAME: 0115 004 00\_RS.dwg



**CROSS-SECTION A - WEST RIVER BANK**



**CROSS-SECTION A - EAST RIVER BANK**






8 1/2" x 11"

PLOT: 15/01/2014 1:36:28 PM

FILE NAME: 0002 004 01\_F08,09\_RC.dwg



$$P_H = 0.5 \gamma H$$

WHERE :

H = DEPTH OF EXCAVATION (m)

$P_H$  = LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE ( $kPa$ )

$\gamma$  = BULK SOIL UNIT WEIGHT ( $kN/m^3$ )


NOTE:

- ADD SURFACE LOAD SURCHARGE IF APPLICABLE

8 1/2" x 11"

PLOT: 15/01/2014 1:37:18 PM

FILE NAME: 0002 004 01\_F08,09\_RC.dwg



$$P_H = 0.65 K_a \gamma H$$

WHERE :

H = DEPTH OF EXCAVATION (m)

$P_H$  = LATERAL EARTH PRESSURE (kPa)

$\gamma$  = BULK SOIL UNIT WEIGHT (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)

$K_a$  = ACTIVE EARTH PRESSURE COEFFICIENT

NOTES:

- ADD SURFACE LOAD SURCHARGE IF APPLICABLE
- ADD HYDROSTATIC WATER PRESSURE IF APPLICABLE

**Appendix A**

**Test Hole Logs**

---

**Client:** Associated Engineering      **Project Number:** 0115 004 00  
**Project Name:** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain      **Location:** UTM N-5534866.43, E-636644.43  
**Contractor:** Paddock Drilling Ltd.      **Ground Elevation:** 227.36 m  
**Method:** Acker SS3 Track Mount (see notes for drilling method)      **Date Drilled:** 7 November 2013

**Sample Type:**  Grab (G)     Shelby Tube (T)     Split Spoon (SS)     Split Barrel (SB)     Core (C)  
**Particle Size Legend:**  Fines     Clay     Silt     Sand     Gravel     Cobbles     Boulders  
**Backfill Legend:**  Bentonite     Cement     Drill Cuttings     Filter Pack Sand     Grout     Slough

Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Soil Symbol	Standpipe	Standpipe	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Sample Type	Sample Number	RQD (%)	SPT (N)	Bulk Unit Wt (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)
										16	17	
225.8	1				CLAY (FILL) - silty, trace gravel (<25mm), trace organics, trace silt inclusions (<20mm) - brown - moist, frozen to 1.1m, stiff when thawed - high plasticity	G29						
224.6	2				CLAY (ALLUVIAL) - silty, some fine to medium grained sand, trace organics (roots) - brown - moist, stiff - intermediate plasticity	G30						
	3				SILT (ALLUVIAL) - trace clay to clayey, trace fine and medium grained sand, trace organics (roots) - brown - moist, very soft - low to intermediate plasticity	G31						
	4					G32						
	5				- sandy and wet below 5.0 m	G33						
	6					T34						
	7				- soft below 6.6 m	G35						
	8					SB36A						
	9					SB36B						
	10					SB36C						
	11					SB37A						
	12					SB37B						
	13					SB37C						
219.0	14				SAND (ALLUVIAL) - silty, trace to some clay to 10.7m - brown - wet, loose - no plasticity - poorly graded, fine and medium grained sand	SB38A						
	15					SB38B						
	16					SB39						
	17				- no clay, some silt below 10.7 m	SB40						

SUB-SURFACE LOG 01:15 004 00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL.GDT 15/11/14


**Logged By:** Stephen Renner      **Reviewed By:** Nelson Ferreira      **Project Engineer:** Nelson Ferreira



# Sub-Surface Log

Test Hole TH13-01

2 of 3



SUB-SURFACE LOG 01:15:004.00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL.GDT 15/1/14



# Sub-Surface Log

Test Hole TH13-01

3 of 3

Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Soil Symbol	Standpipe	Standpipe	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Sample Type	Sample Number	ROD (%)	SPT (N)	Bulk Unit Wt (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)							
										16	17	18	19	20	21	0	20	40	60
	27				- chalk nodules at 26.8 m		CB62	96											
	28						CB63	62											
198.1	29				DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK) - beige to grey mottled, some chert nodules (grey) in a dolomitic limestone matrix (beige), vuggy.		CB64	73										31200	
	30							CB65	35										21800
	31							CB66	31										
	32							CB67	74										33100
	33							CB68	94										
	34				- 0.3 m thick highly fractured layer at 33.5 m														
	35				- fractures decreasing below 34.7 m														
	36																		

END OF TEST HOLE At 36.9 m in DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK)

Notes:

- 1) Power auger refusal at 16.9 m depth.
- 2) Seepage observed below 5.3 m
- 3) Water level at 1.5 m depth immediately after dilling prior to coring.
- 4) Test hole drilled using solid stem auger up to 4.6 m then switched to hollow stem auger. At power auger refusal, switched to HQ coring.

SUB-SURFACE LOG 01:15:004 00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL.GDT 15/1/14

Logged By: Stephen Renner

Reviewed By: Nelson Ferreira

Project Engineer: Nelson Ferreira

**Client:** Associated Engineering      **Project Number:** 0115 004 00  
**Project Name:** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain      **Location:** UTM N-5534987.21, E-636455.82  
**Contractor:** Paddock Drilling Ltd.      **Ground Elevation:** 227.19 m  
**Method:** Acker SS3 Track Mount (see notes for drilling method)      **Date Drilled:** 6 November 2013

**Sample Type:**  Grab (G)     Shelby Tube (T)     Split Spoon (SS)     Split Barrel (SB)     Core (C)  
**Particle Size Legend:**  Fines     Clay     Silt     Sand     Gravel     Cobbles     Boulders  
**Backfill Legend:**  Bentonite     Cement     Drill Cuttings     Filter Pack Sand     Grout     Slough

Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Soil Symbol	Standpipe	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Sample Type	Sample Number	RQD (%)	SPT (N)	Bulk Unit Wt (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)									
									16	17	18	19	20	21	Test Type	Symbol				
									Particle Size (%)											
									0	20	40	60	80	100						
									PL	MC	LL									
									0	20	40	60	80	100	0	20	40	60	80	100
227.7	1			CLAY (ALLUVIAL) - silty, some gravel, trace fine sand, trace to some organics (roots and rootlets) - dark brown - moist, very stiff - high plasticity		G46														
						G47														>>+
	2			CLAY (LACUSTRINE) - silty to 2.4m, some gravel, trace fine sand, trace organics (roots and rootlets), trace oxidation - dark brown, moist, soft to firm, high plasticity		G48														
				- grey below 2.4 m		SB01														
				- trace silt inclusions (<15mm) and soft below 2.7 m		SB02														
	3					G49														
				- firm to stiff, trace to some oxidation below 3.7 m		T03														
						SB04														
						SB05														
						SB06														
				- trace coarse sand below 5.8 m		SB07														
						T08														
				- trace gravel (<25mm) below 7.3 m		SB09														
						SB10														
				- trace to some silt inclusions (<15mm) below 7.9 m		SB11														
						SB12														
						T13														
				- trace till inclusions (<75mm) below 10.4 m		SB14														
						SB15														
						SB16														
						SB17														

**Logged By:** Stephen Renner      **Reviewed By:** Nelson Ferreira      **Project Engineer:** Nelson Ferreira

SUB-SURFACE LOG 0115 004 00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL\_GDT\_15/11/14



# Sub-Surface Log

Test Hole TH13-04

2 of 2

Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Soil Symbol	Standpipe	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Sample Type	Sample Number	ROD (%)	SPT (N)	Bulk Unit Wt (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	
									16	17	18	19
									Particle Size (%)		Test Type	
									0 20 40 60 80 100		△ Torvane △ ⊕ Pocket Pen. ⊕ ⊠ Qu ⊠ ○ Field Vane ○	
									PL MC LL			
									0 20 40 60 80 100			
	13			- trace to some till inclusions below 14.0 m		SB18						⊕ △
	14					SB19						⊕ △
	15					SB20						⊕ △
	16					SB21						⊕ △
212.2	15			SILT (TILL) - trace clay, trace gravel (<25mm), trace sand		SB22						
211.8				- light brown, moist, loose, low plasticity		SS23						
211.3	16			CLAY - silty, trace gravel								
				- grey, moist, soft to firm, high plasticity								
	17			SILT (TILL) - trace clay, trace gravel (<25mm), trace sand (poorly graded)		SB24						
				- light brown, moist, loose, low plasticity		SB25						
210.1	17			DOLOMITE (BEDROCK)								
				- beige, vertical and horizontal, rough undulating fractures, slightly altered, clay infilling		CB26	86					
	18											
	19					CB27	100					
	20											
	21					CB28	100					
205.5												

END OF TEST HOLE at 21.6 m in DOLOMITE (BEDROCK)

Notes:

- 1) Power auger refusal at 16.7 m.
- 2) No seepage or sloughing observed.
- 3) Water level at 4.2 m depth immediately after drilling prior to coring.
- 4) Test hole drilled using solid stem augers to 16.7 m then drill method switched to HQ coring.

SUB-SURFACE LOG 01:15:004 00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL\_GDT 15/11/14

Logged By: Stephen Renner

Reviewed By: Nelson Ferreira

Project Engineer: Nelson Ferreira





# Sub-Surface Log

Test Hole TH13-05

1 of 3

**Client:** Associated Engineering      **Project Number:** 0115 004 00  
**Project Name:** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain      **Location:** UTM N-5534979.78, E-636465.14  
**Contractor:** Paddock Drilling Ltd.      **Ground Elevation:** 226.26 m  
**Method:** CME-850 Track Mount (see notes for drilling method)      **Date Drilled:** 15 November 2013

**Sample Type:**  Grab (G)     Shelby Tube (T)     Split Spoon (SS)     Split Barrel (SB)     Core (C)

**Particle Size Legend:**  Fines     Clay     Silt     Sand     Gravel     Cobbles     Boulders

**Backfill Legend:**  Bentonite     Cement     Drill Cuttings     Filter Pack Sand     Grout     Slough

Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Soil Symbol	Standpipe	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Sample Type	Sample Number	RQD (%)	SPT (N)	Bulk Unit Wt (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)
									16	17	
				- overburden soils not logged - drilling advanced to power auger refusal then drilling method switched to HQ coring							
1											
2											
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											

**Logged By:** Martial Lemoine      **Reviewed By:** Nelson Ferreira      **Project Engineer:** Nelson Ferreira

SUB-SURFACE LOG 0115 004 00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL\_GDT\_15/11/14



# Sub-Surface Log

Test Hole TH13-05

2 of 3

Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Soil Symbol	Standpipe	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Sample Type	Sample Number	ROD (%)	SPT (N)	Bulk Unit Wt (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)						
									16	17	18	19	20	21	0	20	40
									Particle Size (%)				Test Type				
									0 20 40 60 80 100				△ Torvane △ ⊕ Pocket Pen. ⊕ ⊠ Qu ⊠ ○ Field Vane ○				
									PL MC LL				0 20 40 60 80 100				
210.1	16			SILT (TILL) - trace clay, trace sand, trace gravel - light grey, moist, loose, no to low plasticity	SS69	CB70	0										
210.0	17			DOLOMITE (BEDROCK) - beige, vertical and horizontal, rough undulating fractures, slightly altered, clay infilling		CB71	38										
208.7	18			DOLOMITE (BEDROCK) - beige to light grey layering, massive, minor vugs, minor vertical and horizontal tight fractures		CB72	73										39500 ⊠
	19					CB73	95										
	20					CB74	83										39500 ⊠
	21					CB75	98										
	22					CB76	92										
	23			- visible hairline fractures between 22.9 m to 24.4 m		CB77	75										
201.9	24			DOLOMITE (BEDROCK) - beige layers with light brown mottled and cream coloured layers, massive, minor vertical and horizontal tight fractures													
	25																
	26																

SUB-SURFACE LOG 01:15:004.00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL.GDT 15/11/14



# Sub-Surface Log

Test Hole TH13-05

3 of 3

Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	Soil Symbol	Standpipe	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION	Sample Type	Sample Number	ROD (%)	SPT (N)	Bulk Unit Wt (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )		Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)										
									18	19	18	19									
									Particle Size (%)		Test Type										
									0	20	40	60	80	100	0	20	40	60	80	100	
									PL	MC	LL			△ Torvane △	⊕ Pocket Pen. ⊕	⊠ Qu ⊠	○ Field Vane ○				
									0	20	40	60	80	100	0	20	40	60	80	100	
198.8	27			DOLOMITIC MUDSTONE (BEDROCK) - mottled light brown to grey, light brown mottles are soft calcareous mudstone, grey mottles are hard dolomite, trace chert nodules, vuggy, rough undulating sub vertical fractures 0.1 m thick clay (rock flour) seam at 28.7 m		CB78	69														
	28																				
	29																				
	30																				11900 ⊠
195.8	31			DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK) - beige to grey mottled, some chert nodules (grey) in a dolomitic limestone matrix (beige), vuggy, minor, very rough, angular, subhorizontal fracturing.		CB80	100														
	32																				
	33																				
	34																				
	35																				

END OF TEST HOLE At 35.1 m in DOLOMITIC LIMESTONE (BEDROCK)

Notes:

- 1) Power auger refusal at 16.2 m.
- 2) No seepage or sloughing observed.
- 3) Water level at 3.7 m depth immediately after dilling prior to coring.
- 4) Test hole drilled using solid stem augers to 16.2 m then drilling method switched to HQ coring.

SUB-SURFACE LOG 01:15:004 00 DETAILED DESIGN NORTH KILDONAN FEEDERMAIN - LOGS.GPJ TREK GEOTECHNICAL\_GDT\_15/1/14

Logged By: Martial Lemoine

Reviewed By: Nelson Ferreira

Project Engineer: Nelson Ferreira

## **Appendix B**

### **Test Hole Logs (By Others)**

---

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG

CKD.

NCB

DATE OF INVEST. 6/08/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 1

### WATER CONTENT

$w_p$  - □     $w$  - ○     $w_L$  - △  
 PERCENT %  
 10   20   30   40   50   60

DEPTH  
(M)

SOIL SYMBOL

### SOIL DESCRIPTION

### SOIL SAMPLE

### DRILL TYPE

450 & 500 mm  
Augers

DATUM Geodetic

SURFACE ELEVATION 230.63 m

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

### OTHER TESTS

0 // Fill

Clay -black

Clay -silty  
-brown  
-stiff  
-alluvial

2 Silt -tan  
-wet to saturated  
-firm

4 Clay -mottled brown  
-highly plastic  
-stiff  
-lacustrine

U

$q_u = 109.7 \text{ kpa}$   
 $\gamma_w = 16.48 \text{ kn/m}^3$   
 $pp = 146.0 \text{ kpa}$   
 $T_v = 84.7 \text{ kpa}$

8 End hole at 7.6 m.  
Seepage and caving from 2.4 to 2.7 m.

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 6/08/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 2

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
PERCENT %									
10	20	30	40	50	60				
			0	▨	Fill -clay, silt, some gravel				
			1	▨	Clay -black				
			2	▨	Clay -silty -brown -stiff				
			3	▨	Silt -tan -saturated				
			4	▨	Clay -mottled brown -highly plastic -firm to stiff -lacustine				
			5	▨		U	qu=47.2kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.51kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=93.4kpa Tv=81.2kpa		
			6	▨					
			7	▨					
			8	▨	grey	U	qu=150.1kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.85kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=125.4kpa Tv=77.8kpa		
			9	▨					
			10	▨					
			11	▨	End hole at 10.7 m. Seepage and caving from silt layer	U	qu=131.8kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =17.60kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=117.8kpa Tv=60.3kpa		

# DYREGROV & BURGESS


# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 6/08/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 3

WATER CONTENT					DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %				DATUM	SURFACE ELEVATION	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS
10	20	30	40	50	60		230.58 m				500 mm Auger	
						SS	Topsoil					
							Clay -silty -brown -stiff					
							Silt -tan -wet to saturated					
							Clay -mottled brown -highly plastic -stiff to firm -lacustrine	U			qu=57.4kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.40kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=132.9kpa Tv=71.3kpa	
							--- grey					
								U			qu=117.3kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.27kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=119.7kpa Tv=74.7kpa	
								U			qu=148.5kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.81kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=95.8kpa Tv=60.6kpa	
								U			qu=147.3kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.58kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=68.9kpa Tv=54.6kpa	
							End of hole at 13.7 m in clay.	U			Plate A-4	



# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG    CKD. NCB    DATE OF INVEST. 6/08/87    JOB NO. 87422    HOLE NO. 4

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE	
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %						DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS
10	20	30	40	50	60			SURFACE ELEVATION 230.64 m				450 & 500 mm Augers	
						0	⊗	Fill -clay -concrete rubble					
						1	⊗						
						2	⊗	Clay -silty -brown					
						3	⊗	Silt -tan -wet to saturated					
						4	⊗	Clay -mottled brown -highly plastic -stiff to firm -lacustrine					
						5	⊗			U			γ <sub>w</sub> = 16.30kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp = 108.9kpa Tv = 57.4kpa
						6	⊗			U			
						7	⊗	---grey					
						8	⊗		U				qu = 135.1kpa γ <sub>w</sub> = 17.00kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp = 147.5kpa Tv = 72.8kpa
						9	⊗						
						10	⊗						
						11	⊗		U				qu = 106.7kpa γ <sub>w</sub> = 16.72kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp = 93.8kpa Tv = 56.0kpa
						12	⊗						
						13	⊗						



# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG    CKD. NCB    DATE OF INVEST. 6/08/87    JOB NO. 87422    HOLE NO. 4

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %					DATUM	SURFACE ELEVATION	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS
10	20	30	40	50	60			Geodetic	230.64 m				450 & 500 mm Augers
						14		Clay -grey -highly plastic -lacustrine		U			qu=68.2kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.04kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=94.2kpa Tv=47.4kpa
						15							
						16							
						17							qu=128.4kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.51kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=81.4kpa Tv=43.6kpa
						18							
						19		Silt (Glacial Till) -sandy, gravelly -wet -loose to 19.5 m -medium dense below 19.5 m					
						20							
						21		End of hole at 20.4 m. -Smooth auger refusal -Possible bedrock at 20.4 m -Water inflow from 20.4 m -Water level stabilized at 9.4 m in about 15 minutes					

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 6/08/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 5

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE 450 & 500 mm Augers
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
PERCENT %									
10	20	30	40	50	60				
			0	⊗	Fill -silty -clay				
			1	⊗	Clay -black				
			1.76	△	Clay -silty -brown -alluvial			See Dilatometer Test Results (DMT 2)	
			2	⊗					
			3	⊗	Clay -mottled brown -highly plastic -stiff to firm -lacustrine				
			4	⊗					
			5	⊗		U		qu=53.7kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.18kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=112.5kpa Tv=59.4kpa	
			6	□	---grey				
			6.87	△					
			7	⊗					
			8	⊗		U		qu=114.2kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =17.38kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=122.6kpa Tv=62.7kpa	
			9	⊗					
			10	⊗					
			11	⊗		U		qu=95.3kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =17.78kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=66.1kpa Tv=39.7kpa	
			12	□					
			12.89	△					
			13	⊗					

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 6/08/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 5

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %					DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS	
10	20	30	40	50	60			SURFACE ELEVATION					

						14	[Diagonal Hatching]	Clay (cont'd)	/	U		qu=118.4kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.51kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=103.4kpa Tv=49.3kpa
						15						
						16	[Diagonal Hatching]	Silt (Glacial Till)				
						17		-sandy -gravelly -clayey -loose				
						18						
						19		End of hole at 18.7 m -Possible bedrock -No seepage				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 10/08/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 6

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE Hollowstem 550 & 600 mm Augers	
Wp - □	W - ○	WL - △						DATUM	Geodetic		CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS
PERCENT %								SURFACE ELEVATION 227.47 m						

10	20	30	40	50	60	(M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS
						0		Clay -silty -some sand -alluvial -stiff to 1.2 m -soft from 1.2 to 3.3 m				See dilatometer test results to 15.2 m depth (DMT 1)
						1						
						2						
						3				U		$\gamma_w = 17.90 \text{ kg/m}^3$ pp=114.9kpa
						4						
						5		Sand -little to some silt -trace to some clay -fine to medium grained -very dense, 5.2 - 6.4 m				MA
						6		--medium grained, grey, saturated		U		MA pp=35.9kpa
						7						
						8		Silt -some sand -some to little clay -firm to stiff		U		MA $\gamma_w = 18.00 \text{ kg/m}^3$ pp=67.0kpa Tv=31.6kpa
						9				U		$\gamma_w = 17.71 \text{ kg/m}^3$ pp=88.6kpa Tv=15.8kpa
						10				U		MA $\gamma_w = 14.97 \text{ kg/m}^3$ pp=52.7kpa
						11						
						12		Clay -silty, very stiff, alluvial		U		pp=148.4kpa Tv=67.0kpa
						13		End of hole at 12.5 m Standpipe piezometers SP 1 and SP 2 installed				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG    CKD.    NCB    DATE OF INVEST. 10/08/87    JOB NO. 87422    HOLE NO. 6

## WATER CONTENT

W<sub>p</sub> - □    W - ○    W<sub>L</sub> - △  
PERCENT %  
10    20    30    40    50    60

DEPTH

SOIL SYMBOL

## SOIL DESCRIPTION

DATUM Geodetic

SURFACE ELEVATION 227.47 m

## SOIL SAMPLE

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

## DRILL TYPE

Hollowstem  
550 & 600 mm  
Augers

## OTHER TESTS

SP 1  
Tip at 12.2 m  
Sand to 11.6 m  
Bentonite to 10.4 m  
230 mm Ø augers

SP 2  
Tip at 6.1 m  
Sand at 5.6 m  
Bentonite to 4.6 m  
230 mm Ø augers  
Pipe ID - 19 mm

# DYREGROV & BURGESS


# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG    CKD. NCB    DATE OF INVEST. 7/08/87    JOB NO. 87422    HOLE NO. 7

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE 450 & 600 mm Augers
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %						DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	
10	20	30	40	50	60			SURFACE ELEVATION 227.13 m				



# DYREGROV & BURGESS


# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 7/08/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 7

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %						CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
10	20	30	40	50	60			DATUM Geodetic				550 & 600 mm Augers
								SURFACE ELEVATION 227.13 m				



---Clay & Glacial Till

Silt (Glacial Till)  
-wet, loose, clayey

End of hole at 16.2 m.  
-Smooth auger refusal  
-Water seepage 20 minutes after completion of drilling  
-600 mm casing to 10 m depth  
-Possible bedrock at 16.2 m

OTHER TESTS

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG    CKD. NCB    DATE OF INVEST. 7/08/87    JOB NO. 87422    HOLE NO. 8

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
PERCENT %									
10	20	30	40	50	60				
			0	⊗	Fill -clay, concrete rubble				550 & 600 mm Augers
			1		Silt -clayey, brown, stiff -alluvial				
			2		Sand -fine grained -some silt -brown				$\gamma_w = 18.01 \text{ kg/m}^3$ $p_p = 56.2 \text{ kpa}$ $T_v = 39.7 \text{ kpa}$
			3		--- saturated	U			
			4						
			5						
			6		--- grey				
			7						
			8						
			9		Silt -some sand and clay -wet -stiff to firm	U		$q_u = 57.3 \text{ kpa}$ $\gamma_w = 16.97 \text{ kg/m}^3$ $p_p = 183.8 \text{ kpa}$ $T_v = 62.2 \text{ kpa}$	
			10						
			11		--- 50 mm gravel layer				
			12					$q_u = 49.1 \text{ kpa}$ $\gamma_w = 15.97 \text{ kg/m}^3$	
			13		Clay -grey -highly plastic -stiff to firm	U		$p_p = 101.5 \text{ kpa}$ $T_v = 57.4 \text{ kpa}$	



# DYREGROV & BURGESS


# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG      CKD.      NCB      DATE OF INVEST. 7/08/87      JOB NO. 87422      HOLE NO. 8

WATER CONTENT					DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %				DATUM	SURFACE ELEVATION	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS
10	20	30	40	50	60		Geodetic	227.17 m				550 & 600 mm Augers



14 Clay (cont'd)

15 ---gravelly

16 Silt (Glacial Till)  
-sandy, gravelly  
-some clay  
-tan  
-seepage from 16.5 m

18 End of hole at 17.7 m  
-Rough auger refusal at 17.7 m  
-Water level at 7.2 m on completion of drilling  
-600 mm casing to 4.6 below grade

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG		CKD. NCB		DATE OF INVEST. 27/08/87		JOB NO. 87422		HOLE NO. 12			
WATER CONTENT						SOIL DESCRIPTION			SOIL SAMPLE		DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □		W - ○		W <sub>L</sub> - △		DATUM Geodetic			CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE
PERCENT %						SURFACE ELEVATION 226.74 m					
10	20	30	40	50	60	DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL				
						0	Fill -clay -some gravel				P1 Pneumatic piezometer tip @ 7.8m sand to 7.1 m bent. to 6.1m  qu=46.4kpa $\gamma_w = 18.00 \text{kn/m}^3$ pp=97.0kpa Tv=27.8kpa  $\gamma_w = 17.37 \text{kn/m}^3$ pp=59.8kpa  qu=67.4kpa $\gamma_w = 19.95 \text{kn/m}^3$ pp=143.6kpa  qu=51.2kpa $\gamma_w = 17.60 \text{kn/m}^3$ pp=87.8kpa Tv=58.4kpa
						1	Clay -silty -brown -stiff -alluvial -medium to highly plastic				
						2					
						3			U		
						4					
						5	---grey				
						6			U		
						7					
						8					
						9	Clay -mottled brown to grey -highly plastic -lacustrine		U		
						10					
						11					
						12			U		
						13	End of hole at 12.8 m. Install pneumatic piezometer				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

## BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG	CKD.	NCB	DATE OF INVEST.	27/08/87	JOB NO.	87422	HOLE NO.	13	
WATER CONTENT			DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE		DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △			DATUM	Geodetic	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE
PERCENT %					SURFACE ELEVATION	227.60 m			OTHER TESTS
10	20	30	40	50	60				
			0		Fill -clay -some gravel				Station - 3+90.9                qu=56.5kpa γ <sub>w</sub> =16.77kn/m <sup>3</sup> pp=93.8kpa Tv=48.8kpa
			1		Clay -silty -brown -alluvial				
			2		Clay -mottled brown -highly plastic -stiff to firm -lacustrine				
			3						
			4						
			5						
			6						
			7						
			8						
			9						
			10						
			11						
			12						
			13						

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG    CKD. NCB    DATE OF INVEST. 27/08/87    JOB NO. 87422    HOLE NO. 13

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △		PERCENT %				DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS	
10	20	30	40	50	60								
						14		Clay (cont'd)					Hollow Stem
						15							
						16							
						17							
						18	○	Silt (Glacial Till) -sandy and gravelly -bouldery					
						19							
						20		End of hole at 18.6 in glacial till. Backfill with sand to 14.9. Place pneumatic piezometer @ 14.9 (P2) Sand to 14.2 m Bentonite to 13.1 m  Set pneumatic piezometer (P3) with tip @ 9.1 m. Sand to 8.5 m. Bentonite to 7.5 m.					

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. SDG CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 18/09/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 14

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE B-24 75 mm Bit
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %						DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	
10	20	30	40	50	60			SURFACE ELEVATION 223.64				
						0		Water				
						1						
						2						
						3						
						4						
						5						
						6						
						7						
						8		Overburden Soils				For DMT results see DMT 5
						9	A	Glacial Till				
						10	A					
						11	A					
						12	A					
						13	A					
								Limestone Bedrock				Plate A-21

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

Kildonan Corridor

LOGGED/DWN. NCB CKD. NCB DATE OF INVEST. 18/09/87 JOB NO. 87422 HOLE NO. 14

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH (M)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION			SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △		PERCENT %	DATUM Geodetic			SURFACE ELEVATION 223.64 m	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION	RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS	
10	20	30	40											50

						14		Sound Rock					Rec. - 100%
						15		Sound Rock 25 mm clay seam at 15.2 m					Rec. - 91% RQD - 80%
						16		Sound Rock 25 mm clay seam at 16.7 m					REC. - 94% RQD - 75%
						17							
						18		Sound Rock No clay seams					Rec. - 100% RQD - 95%
						19							
						20		End hole at 19.1 m. Rock surface estimated at Elev. - 210.38 m. Top 150 mm unsound.					

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 24/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 15

**WATER CONTENT**

$w_p - \square$      $w - \circ$      $w_L - \triangle$   
 PERCENT %  
 10    20    30    40    50    60

DEPTH

m

SOIL SYMBOL

**SOIL DESCRIPTION**

DATUM

SURFACE ELEVATION 223.67 m

**SOIL SAMPLE**

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

DRILL TYPE

OTHER TESTS

WATER

ALLUVIAL SOILS

GLACIAL TILL  
(depth to till extrapolated  
from DMT 6)

LIMESTONE BEDROCK

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 24/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 15

WATER CONTENT

$w_p - \square$      $w - \circ$      $w_L - \triangle$   
 PERCENT %  
 10    20    30    40    50    60

DEPTH

m

SOIL SYMBOL

SOIL DESCRIPTION

SOIL SAMPLE

DRILL TYPE

DATUM

SURFACE ELEVATION

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

OTHER TESTS

14

BROKEN ROCK

SOUND ROCK

REC - 99%  
RQD - 60%

15

NO RECOVERY

SOUND ROCK

REC - 99%  
RQD - 60%

16

NO RECOVERY

SOUND ROCK

REC - 99%  
RQD - 79%

17

SOUND ROCK

REC - 100%  
RQD - 70%

18

NO RECOVERY

19

BROKEN ROCK

SOUND ROCK

REC - 84%  
RQD - 17%

20

SOUND ROCK

REC - 100%  
RQD - 45%

22

End hole at 21.7 m.  
Rock surface estimated at elev.  
210.53  
Top 0.9 m unsound rock.



# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 25/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16

## WATER CONTENT

$W_p - \square$      $W - \circ$      $W_L - \triangle$   
 PERCENT %  
 10    20    30    40    50    60

DEPTH  
m

SOIL SYMBOL

## SOIL DESCRIPTION

## SOIL SAMPLE

DRILL TYPE

DATUM

SURFACE ELEVATION 223.61 m

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

OTHER TESTS

WATER

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

ALLUVIAL SOILS

11

GLACIAL TILL  
 -SOFT/LOOSE  
 -PUSHED DRILL RODS TO  
 BEDROCK SURFACE

12

13

LIMESTONE BEDROCK

FOR TESTS IN  
ALLUVIUM SEE  
DMT 7

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 25/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △		PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS							
PERCENT %												
10	20	30	40	50	60							
						14	[Symbol]	BROKEN ROCK TO 13.7 m				
								3 - 6mm clay seams at 14.0 m				REC - 75%
						15	[Symbol]	SOUND ROCK				REC - 95%
												RQD - 68%
						16	[Symbol]					
								225 mm seam or soft rock				REC - 98%
						17	[Symbol]					
						18	[Symbol]	SOUND ROCK				REC - 93%
						19	[Symbol]					
								NO RECOVERY				
						20	[Symbol]					
								Abandon hole at 20.1 m Drill rods jamming				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 06/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16A

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △		DATUM				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS		
PERCENT %												SURFACE ELEVATION	
10	20	30	40	50	60								
						1		WATER					
						2							
						3							
						4							
						5							
						6							
						7							
						8							
						9							
						10		ALLUVIAL SOILS					
						11		GLACIAL TILL (depth extrapolated from DMT )					
						12							
						13							

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 06/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16A

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %						DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE
10	20	30	40	50	60			SURFACE ELEVATION				
						14	GL	GLACIAL TILL				
							LR	LIMESTONE BEDROCK				REC - 100% ROD - 67%
						15		UNSOUND ROCK				
						16		NO CORE RECOVERY				
						17						
						18						
						19						
						20		UNSOUND ROCK				
						21		NO CORE RECOVERY				
						22						
						23	UR	UNSOUND ROCK				REC - 30%
						24		End hole at 23.6 m.				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 07/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16B

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE	
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE		
PERCENT %					DATUM				OTHER TESTS	
10	20	30	40	50	60	SURFACE ELEVATION 223.69 m				
			1		WATER					
			2							
			3							
			4							
			5							
			6							
			7							
			8							
			9							
			10		ALLUVIUM					
			11		GLACIAL TILL (depth to till extrapolated from DMT 7)					
			12							
			13							

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 07/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16B

WATER CONTENT

$w_p - \square$      $w - \circ$      $w_L - \triangle$   
 PERCENT %  
 10    20    30    40    50    60

DEPTH  
m

SOIL SYMBOL

SOIL DESCRIPTION

SOIL SAMPLE

DRILL TYPE

DATUM

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

SURFACE ELEVATION 223.69 m

OTHER TESTS

14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20

LIMESTONE BEDROCK  
SOUND ROCK

REC - 100%  
ROD - 56%

SOUND ROCK

REC - 98%  
ROD - 83%

SOUND ROCK

REC - 96%  
ROD - 90%

SOUND ROCK

REC - 94%  
ROD - 73%

NO RECOVERY

End hole at 20.0 m  
Drill rods jamming in broken  
rock and clay.

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 14/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16C

**WATER CONTENT**

W<sub>p</sub> - □    W - ○    W<sub>L</sub> - △  
 PERCENT %  
 10   20   30   40   50   60

DEPTH  
 m

SOIL SYMBOL

**SOIL DESCRIPTION**

**SOIL SAMPLE**

DRILL TYPE

DATUM

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
 RESISTANCE

SURFACE ELEVATION

OTHER TESTS

WATER

ALLUVIUM

GLACIAL TILL  
 (depth to till extrapolated  
 from DMT 7)

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 14/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16C

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE				OTHER TESTS			
PERCENT %												
10	20	30	40	50	60		DATUM					
								SURFACE ELEVATION				
						14		LIMESTONE BEDROCK SOUND ROCK				REC - 100% RQD - 85%
						15		SOUND ROCK				REC - 92% RQD - 91%
						16						
						17		SOUND ROCK				REC - 98% RQD - 96%
						18		SOUND ROCK				REC - 100% RQD - 100%
						19		NO RECOVERY				
						20		BROKEN ROCK, NO RECOVERY NO RECOVERY				
						21		SOUND ROCK				REC - 93% RQD - 73%
						22						
						23		End hole at 22.3 m.				



# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 15/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16D

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE	
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE		PENETRATION RESISTANCE
PERCENT %					SURFACE ELEVATION				OTHER TESTS	
10	20	30	40	50	60					
						WATER				
			1							
			2							
			3							
			4							
			5							
			6							
			7							
			8							
			9							
			10			ALLUVIUM				
			11	△		GLACIAL TILL (depth to glacial till extrapolated from DMT 7)				
			12	△						
			13	△						
						LIMESTONE BEDROCK				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 15/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 16D

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE				OTHER TESTS			
PERCENT %												
10	20	30	40	50	60			DATUM				
								SURFACE ELEVATION				
						14		150 mm clay or soft rock				REC - 69%
								---- 150 mm clay or soft rock				RQD - 67%
						15		NO RECOVERY				
						16		SOUND ROCK				REC - 88%
						17		SOUND ROCK				REC - 100%
												RQD - 93%
						18		SOUND ROCK				
								NO RECOVERY				
						19		SOUND ROCK				
						20		UN SOUND ROCK				REC - 30%
												RQD - 10%
						21		SOUND ROCK				REC - 80%
						22		BROKEN ROCK				RQD - 63%
								End hole at 22.5 m.				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 06/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 17

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE	
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE		PENETRATION RESISTANCE
PERCENT %					SURFACE ELEVATION				OTHER TESTS	
10	20	30	40	50	60	223.65 m				
			1		WATER					
			2							
			3							
			4							
			5							
			6							
			7							
			8							
			9		ALLUVIAL SOILS					For tests in alluvium see DMT 7
			10							
			11	▲	GLACIAL TILL					
					VERY DENSE? HARD BELOW 11.2 m					
			12	▲						
			13	▲						

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.		CKD.	DATE OF INVEST.	JOB NO.	HOLE NO.			
WATER CONTENT Wp - □    W - ○    WL - △. PERCENT % 10    20    30    40    50    60			SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOL	DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION	RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS	
m		SURFACE ELEVATION						
14	A	GLACIAL TILL						
		LIMESTONE BEDROCK						
15		NO RECOVERY 14.3 to 15.5 m						
16		SOUND ROCK					REC - 99% RQD - 99%	
17		SOUND ROCK					REC - 97% RQD - 75%	
18		SOUND ROCK					REC - 97% RQD - 79%	
19		SOUND ROCK					REC 100% REC 0%	
20		SOUND ROCK					REC - 100% RQD - 70%	
21		BROKEN ROCK					REC - 93% RQD - 30%	
22		SOUND ROCK						
23		End hole at 22.6 m.						

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 21/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 18

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
PERCENT %					DATUM				
10	20	30	40	50	60	SURFACE ELEVATION 223.68			
			1		WATER				
			2						
			3						
			4						
			5						
			6						
			7						
			8						
			9						
			10						
			11		GLACIAL TILL				
			12						
			13		LIMESTONE BEDROCK, BROKEN TO 13.1m				
					SOUND ROCK, 13.1 - 13.8 m				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 21/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 18

WATER CONTENT

W<sub>p</sub> - □    W - ○    W<sub>L</sub> - △  
PERCENT %  
10   20   30   40   50   60

DEPTH  
m

SOIL SYMBOL

SOIL DESCRIPTION

SOIL SAMPLE

DRILL TYPE

DATUM

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

SURFACE ELEVATION 223.68 m

OTHER TESTS

14

NO RECOVERY 13.8 - 13.9 m  
SOUND ROCK  
25 mm clay seam at 14.6 m

REC - 87%  
RQD - 82%

15

SOUND ROCK

REC - 95%  
RQD - 87%

16

SOUND ROCK

REC - 95%  
RQD - 65%

17

SOUND ROCK

REC - 95%  
RQD - 87%

18

SOUND ROCK

REC - 95%

21

SOUND ROCK

REC - 93%

22

23

End hole at 22.3 m.

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 19/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 19

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
PERCENT %					DATUM				
10	20	30	40	50	60	SURFACE ELEVATION 223.62			OTHER TESTS
						WATER			
			1						
			2						
			3						
			4						
			5						
			6						
			7						
			8						
			9						
			10	▲		GLACIAL TILL (depth to glacial till extrapolated from DMT 5)			
			11	▲					
			12	▲					
			13	▲					

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 19/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 19

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE				OTHER TESTS			
PERCENT %												
10	20	30	40	50	60		DATUM					
							SURFACE ELEVATION					
						14		GLACIAL TILL LIMESTONE BEDROCK BROKEN ROCK TO 14.6 m				REC - 30%
						15		SOUND ROCK				REC - 100%
						16						
						17		SOUND ROCK				REC - 96% RQD - 94%
						18		SOUND ROCK				REC - 96% RQD - 74%
						19						
						20		SOUND ROCK				REC - 97%
						21		End hole at 20.7 m.				



# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 03/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 20

### WATER CONTENT

W<sub>p</sub> - □    W - ○    W<sub>L</sub> - △  
PERCENT %  
10   20   30   40   50   60

DEPTH  
m

SOIL SYMBOL

### SOIL DESCRIPTION

### SOIL SAMPLE

DRILL TYPE

DATUM

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

SURFACE ELEVATION 223.61 m

OTHER TESTS

1  
WATER

2  
ALLUVIAL SOILS  
(for test results see DMT 4)

8  
GLACIAL TILL  
(depth to till extrapolated  
from DMT 4)

13  
LIMESTONE BEDROCK

PLATE A-41

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 03/10/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 20

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △		DATUM				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS		
PERCENT %												SURFACE ELEVATION	
10	20	30	40	50	60			223.61 m					
						14	[Rock symbol]	BROKEN ROCK 13.4 - 14.0 m					REC - 64%
								SOUND ROCK BELOW 14.0 m					
						15	[Rock symbol]	SOUND ROCK					REC - 97%
													RQD - 81%
						16	[Rock symbol]						
						17	[Rock symbol]	SOUND ROCK					REC - 95%
													RQD - 93%
						18	[Rock symbol]	SOUND ROCK					REC - 92%
													RQD - 69%
						19	[Rock symbol]	100 mm clay seam					
						20	[Rock symbol]	SOUND ROCK					REC - 97%
													RQD - 73%
						21	[Rock symbol]	SOUND ROCK					REC - 92%
													RQD - 79%
						22	[Rock symbol]						
						23		End hole at 22.6 m.					

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 28/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 21

**WATER CONTENT**

W<sub>p</sub> - □    W - ○    W<sub>L</sub> - △  
 PERCENT %  
 10   20   30   40   50   60

DEPTH

m

SOIL SYMBOL

**SOIL DESCRIPTION**

**SOIL SAMPLE**

**DRILL TYPE**

DATUM

SURFACE ELEVATION 223.63 m

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

OTHER TESTS

WATER

ALLUVIAL SOILS  
 (for test results see DMT 4)

GLACIAL TILL  
 (depth to till extrapolated  
 from DMT 4)

LIMESTONE BEDROCK

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 28/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 21

WATER CONTENT

$w_p - \square$      $w - \circ$      $w_L - \triangle$   
 PERCENT %  
 10    20    30    40    50    60

DEPTH  
m

SOIL SYMBOL

SOIL DESCRIPTION

SOIL SAMPLE

DRILL TYPE

DATUM

CONDITION

TYPE

PENETRATION  
RESISTANCE

SURFACE ELEVATION

OTHER TESTS

DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE	DRILL TYPE	OTHER TESTS
14		NO RECOVERY TO 14.2 m			
15		SOUND ROCK, 14.2 - 14.8 m			
16		--- 25 mm clay seam SOUND ROCK			REC - 99% RQD - 44%
17		SOUND ROCK			REC - 97% RQD - 81%
18		--- 6 mm clay seams (2) --- 12 mm clay seam SOUND ROCK			REC - 95% RQD - 45%
20		SOUND ROCK			REC - 98% RQD - 67%
21		SOUND ROCK			REC - 100%
22					RQD - 36%
23		End hole at 22.4 m.			

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 23/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 22

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
PERCENT %					DATUM				
10	20	30	40	50	60	SURFACE ELEVATION 223.68 m			
			1		WATER				
			2		ALLUVIAL SOILS (for testing see DMT 3)				
			3						
			4						
			5						
			6						
			7						
			8		GLACIAL TILL (depth to glacial till extrapolated from DMT 3)				
			9						
			10						
			11						
			12						
			13		LIMESTONE BEDROCK				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 23/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 22

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE				OTHER TESTS			
PERCENT %												
10	20	30	40	50	60			DATUM SURFACE ELEVATION 223.68 m				
						14	⊕	BROKEN ROCK, 13.0-13.8 m SOUND ROCK				no REC REC - 99% RQD - 45%
						15	⊕	SOUND ROCK				REC - 99% RQD - 83%
						16	⊕					
						17	⊕	SOUND ROCK				REC - 96% RQD - 73%
						18	⊕	SOUND ROCK				REC - 93% RQD - 66%
						19	⊕	End hole at 19.0 m.				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 22/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 23

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH M	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %						DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	
10	20	30	40	50	60			SURFACE ELEVATION 223.70 m				
						1		WATER				
						2		ALLUVIAL SOILS (For testing see DMT 3)				
						3						
						4						
						5						
						6						
						7						
						8						
						9		GLACIAL TILL (Depth to till extrapolated from DMT 3)				
						10						
						11						
						12						
						13		LIMESTONE BEDROCK				

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 22/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. 23

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE
PERCENT %					SURFACE ELEVATION				
10	20	30	40	50	60	223.70 m			
			14		SOUND ROCK				REC. - 87% RQD. - 83%
			15		SOUND ROCK				REC. - 97% RQD - 10%
			16		SOUND ROCK				REC. - 100% RQD - 88%
			17		SOUND ROCK				REC. - 95% RQD - 47%
			18		SOUND ROCK				REC. - 97% RQD - 61%
			19		SOUND ROCK				
			20		SOUND ROCK				
			21		End hole at 20.9 m.				



# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST.

22/09/87

JOB NO. 8/422

HOLE NO. DMT 3

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	
PERCENT %					DATUM				
10	20	30	40	50	60	SURFACE ELEVATION	223.70 m		
			1			WATER			
			2	▨		SILT - clayey			UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) — 10.3 — 11.3 — 12.1 — 13.1 — 13.9 — 14.8 — 15.8 — 16.6 — 15.8 — 26.9 — 20.7 — 19.8 — 31.2 — 27.9 — 34.5 — 38.6
			3	▨		CLAY - silty			
			4	▨					
			5	▨					
			6	▨					
			7	▨		STRATIFIED SILTY CLAY AND CLAYEY SILT			
			8			End Dilatometer testing at 7.9 m. Refusal on glacial till or boulder at 7.9 m.			

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 30/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. DMT 4

WATER CONTENT			DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △				CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS
PERCENT %					DATUM				
10	20	30	40	50	60	SURFACE ELEVATION 223.61			
			1		WATER				UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)
			2	▨	CLAYEY SILT				28
			3	▨	STRATIFIED SILT, SAND, AND CLAY				27
			4	▨					27
			5	▨	SILTY SAND				36
			6	▨					45
			7	▨	SILTY CLAY				39
			8		End dilatometer test at 7.5 m. Refusal on boulder or glacial till.				∅=34 <sup>0</sup>
									∅=36 <sup>0</sup>
									51
									59
									58

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 30/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. DMT 5

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE	
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	TYPE	CONDITION				OTHER TESTS				
PERCENT %													
10	20	30	40	50	60		DATUM						
							SURFACE ELEVATION 223.61						
						1	WATER						
						2							
						3							
						4							
						5							
						6							
						7							
						8	CLAYEY SILT, SILTY CLAY AND SAND						
						9		End dilatometer testing at 8.5 m Refusal on glacial till					
												UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa) 24 34 φ = 39°	

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 30/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. DMT 6

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
W <sub>p</sub> - □	W - ○	W <sub>L</sub> - △	PERCENT %						DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	
10	20	30	40	50	60			SURFACE ELEVATION 223.61				
						1		WATER				
						2						
						3						
						4						
						5						
						6						
						7						
						8						
						9						
						10		ALLUVIAL SOILS				
						11		Drill rod pushed from 10.0 to 10.6 m. Refusal on glacial till or boulder at 10.6 m.				
						12						

# DYREGROV & BURGESS

# BOREHOLE LOG

PROJECT

KILDONAN CORRIDOR

LOGGED/DWN.

CKD.

DATE OF INVEST. 30/09/87

JOB NO. 87422

HOLE NO. DMT 7

WATER CONTENT						DEPTH m	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL DESCRIPTION		SOIL SAMPLE			DRILL TYPE
Wp - □	W - ○		W <sub>L</sub> - △					DATUM	CONDITION	TYPE	PENETRATION RESISTANCE	OTHER TESTS	
PERCENT %								SURFACE ELEVATION					
10	20	30	40	50	60								
						1		WATER					
						2							
						3							
						4							
						5							
						6							
						7							
						8							
						9							
						10							
						11	D 1 0 4	GLACIAL TILL - soft/loose - Drill rods pushed with no rotation from 10.4 to 13.1 m. Refusal on probable bedrock at 13.1 m.					
						12							
						13							

**CLIENT** CITY OF WINNIPEG - WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT  
**PROJECT** Chief Peguis Bridge Sewer Replacement  
**SITE** East of Red River and South of Chief Peguis Trail  
**LOCATION** South of Existing Sewermain on the Upper Bank  
**DRILLING METHOD** Acker Track Drill Rig, 125 mm ø Solid Stem and HQ Core Barrel

**JOB NO.** 12-0107-018  
**GROUND ELEV.** 228.37  
**TOP OF PVC ELEV.**  
**WATER ELEV.**  
**DATE DRILLED** 11/8/2012  
**UTM (m)** N 5,534,757  
 E 636,604

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★		Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆	
									20	40	60	80
			<b>SILTY CLAY FILL</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, intermediate to high plasticity, some medium to coarse grained sand, some fine grained gravel, trace coarse grained gravel, trace rootlets.									
	1		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace fine to medium grained sand.									
	5		- Increased sand content below 1.83 m.									
	2		<b>SAND</b> - Brown, moist, compact, fine to medium grained, trace coarse grained sand, trace silt, trace clay.									
	3		<b>SILTY SAND</b> - Brown, moist, loose, fine to medium grained, with silt, trace clay.									
	4		<b>SAND</b> - Brown, moist, compact, fine to medium grained, trace silt.									
	15											
	5		- Water noticed on sample at ~ 5.49 m.									
	6		<b>SANDY SILT</b> - Brown, moist, firm, intermediate to high plasticity, trace oxidation.									
	20		<b>SILTY SAND</b> - Brown, moist, soft, fine to medium grained, trace oxidation.									
	7		- Grey, no oxidation below 6.71 m.									
	25		<b>SAND</b> - Grey, moist, compact, medium grained, some fine and coarse grained sand.									
	8		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity. - Medium grained sand layer between 7.39 and 7.47 m.									
	8		<b>SILTY SAND</b> - Grey, moist, soft, fine to medium grained sand, with silt.									
	9		- Organic layer between 8.53 and 8.64 m.									
	30		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity, trace fine grained sand.									
			- Increased sand between 9.75 and 9.96 m.									

GEOTECHNICAL SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\GEOLOGS\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

**SAMPLE TYPE**  Auger Grab  Split Spoon  Core Barrel

**CONTRACTOR**  
Paddock Drilling Ltd.

**INSPECTOR**  
C. FRIESEN

**APPROVED**  
DRAFT

**DATE**  
11/26/12

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆	
									PL	MC
	35		- Increased sand between 10.36 and 10.52 m. <b>SILTY SAND</b> - Grey, moist, compact, medium grained, trace fine grained sand, trace clay. - Test hole squeezing at 10.67 m.							
	40		- 25 mm thick organic layer at 12.50 m. - Decreased sand between 12.95 and 13.26 m.							
	45					S8				
	50		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity, trace coarse grained sand, trace fine grained gravel, trace silt nodules. - Grain Size Distribution: Gravel (1.0%), Sand (8.8%), Silt (21.9%), Clay (57.0%) at 14.63 m.							
	55		<b>SILT TILL</b> - Tan, moist, compact, with medium to coarse grained sand, some fine grained gravel, trace coarse grained gravel. - Loose, decreased gravel below 16.46 m.							
	60		- Auger refusal at 18.34 m on bedrock. Switched over to core below 18.34 m. <b>LIMESTONE BEDROCK</b> - White, competent, vertical and horizontal fractures.							
	65									
	70									
						R1	98			
						R2	98			

GEO TECHNICAL SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\GEOLOGS\CHIEF PEGLIUS TRAIL SEWERMAIN GP.J



SAMPLE TYPE Auger Grab Split Spoon Core Barrel

CONTRACTOR  
**Paddock Drilling Ltd.**

INSPECTOR  
**C. FRIESEN**

APPROVED  
**DRAFT**

DATE  
**11/26/12**

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲	DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★	Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆		
								20 40 60	20 40 60	20 40 60 80	20 40 60 80		
22			- Increased fractures below 22.94 m. - Vertical fracture between 23.01 and 23.67 m.		22.2	R3	96						
23	75												
24	80												
25	85												
26	85												
			END OF TEST HOLE AT 26.06 m		25.8								
			Notes:		26.1								
			1. Installed casagrande standpipe at a depth of 26.06 m with a stick-up of 0.64 m.										
			2. Backfilled test hole with silica sand between 26.06 and 22.17 m and bentonite chips from 22.17 m to grade.										
27													
28													
29	95												
30	100												
31													
32	105												
33													
	110												

GEO-TECHNICAL SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-01R\DESIGN\GEOLOGS\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

SAMPLE TYPE  Auger Grab  Split Spoon  Core Barrel

CONTRACTOR **Paddock Drilling Ltd.** INSPECTOR **C. FRIESEN** APPROVED **DRAFT** DATE **11/26/12**



**CLIENT** CITY OF WINNIPEG - WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT  
**PROJECT** Chief Peguis Bridge Sewer Replacement  
**SITE** East of Red River and South of Chief Peguis Trail  
**LOCATION** North of Existing Sewermain on the Lower Bank  
**DRILLING METHOD** Acker Track Drill Rig, 125 mm ø Solid Stem and HQ Core Barrel

**JOB NO.** 12-0107-018  
**GROUND ELEV.** 226.37  
**TOP OF PVC ELEV.**  
**WATER ELEV.**  
**DATE DRILLED** 11/7/2012  
**UTM (m)** N 5,534,788  
 E 636,543

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★		
						DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft Δ	PL	MC	LL
1	5		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Brown, damp, firm, intermediate plasticity, trace rootlets, trace fine grained sand, trace fine grained gravel.	S1					
2	10		<b>SAND &amp; GRAVEL</b> - Light grey, moist, dense, medium to coarse grained sand, fine to coarse grained gravel, some clay. - Hole squeezing at 1.83 m.	S2					
3	15		<b>SAND</b> - Brown, moist to wet, loose, fine to medium grained, trace oxidation. - Water noticed on sample below 4.57 m.  - Grey, no oxidation below 5.33 m.	S3					
4	20		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity.	S4					
5	25		<b>SAND</b> - Grey, moist, loose, medium grained, trace coarse grained sand.	S5					
6	30		<b>SAND</b> - Grey, moist, loose, medium grained, trace coarse grained sand.  - Some with silt, reduced sand below 7.92 m.	S6					
7	30		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity, trace silt nodules, trace medium grained sand, trace fine grained gravel.						

GEO TECHNICAL SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\LOGS\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

**SAMPLE TYPE** Auger Grab Core Barrel

**CONTRACTOR**  
Paddock Drilling Ltd.

**INSPECTOR**  
C. FRIESEN

**APPROVED**  
DRAFT

**DATE**  
11/26/12

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆		
							PL	MC	LL
			- Stiff below 10.06 m.						
	35		- Reduced fine grained gravel below 10.67 m.						
	40		- Grain Size Distribution: Gravel (1.2%), Sand (11.7%), Silt (30.5%), Clay (56.6%) at 11.58 m.	S8					
			- Reduced silt nodules below 12.50 m.						
	45		- Firm below 12.95 m.						
			- Grain Size Distribution: Gravel (0.8%), Sand (10.2%), Silt (23.7%), Clay (65.3%) at 13.11 m.	S9					
	50		<b>SILTY TILL</b> - Tan, moist, compact, with medium to coarse grained sand, some fine grained gravel, trace coarse grained gravel.						
			- Loose, reduced coarse grained sand, reduced fine to coarse grained gravel below 14.63 m.	S10					
	55		- Auger refusal at 16.76 m on bedrock. Switched over to core below 16.76 m.						
			<b>LIMESTONE BEDROCK</b> - White, fractured with vertical and horizontal fractures.	R1	85				
	60			R2	98				
	65		- Clay seam at 19.69 m.						
			- Clay between 20.12 and 20.19 m.						
	70		- Yellow fractured limestone between 21.41 and 22.25 m.	R4	100				

SAMPLE TYPE Auger Grab Core Barrel





CONTRACTOR  
**Paddock Drilling Ltd.**

INSPECTOR  
**C. FRIESEN**



APPROVED  
DRAFT

DATE  
11/26/12

GEOTECHNICAL SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\GEOLOG\SCHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH		GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	SAMPLE TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★							
	(m)	(ft)						DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	20	40	60	80				
22				Reduced fractures below 22.25 m.  Increased fractures below 24.69 m.	R5	100										
23	75															
24	80															
25				END OF TEST HOLE AT 25.91 m  Notes: 1. Water level measured at 15.70 m below grade after drilling. 2. Backfilled test hole with a thick bentonite grout mixture and bentonite chips.	R6	100										
26	85															
27																
28	90															
29	95															
30																
31	100															
32	105															
33																
	110															

G:\TECHNICAL\SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-01\17-018\DESIGN\GEOLOGS\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

SAMPLE TYPE  Auger Grab  Core Barrel

CONTRACTOR **Paddock Drilling Ltd.** INSPECTOR **C. FRIESEN**

APPROVED **DRAFT** DATE **11/26/12**



# SUMMARY LOG

REFERENCE NO.

HOLE NO.  
**TH12-02B**

SHEET 1 of 2

**CLIENT** CITY OF WINNIPEG - WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT  
**PROJECT** Chief Peguis Bridge Sewer Replacement  
**SITE** East of Red River and South of Chief Peguis Trail  
**LOCATION** ~3 m West of TH12-02  
**DRILLING METHOD** Acker Track Drill Rig, 125 mm ø Solid Stem

**JOB NO.** 12-0107-018  
**GROUND ELEV.**  
**TOP OF PVC ELEV.**  
**WATER ELEV.**  
**DATE DRILLED** 11/9/2012  
**UTM (m)** N  
 E

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft ▲	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆	
									20 40 60 80	PL MC LL %
		[Cross-hatched]	<b>SILTY CLAY FILL</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, intermediate to high plasticity, some medium to coarse grained sand, some fine grained gravel, trace coarse grained gravel, trace rootlets.							
	1	[Diagonal lines]	<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace fine to medium grained sand.							
	5		- Increased sand content below 1.83 m.							
	2	[Dotted]	<b>SAND</b> - Brown, moist, compact, fine to medium grained, trace coarse grained sand, trace silt, trace clay.							
	3	[Dotted]	<b>SAND</b> - Brown, moist, compact, fine to medium grained, trace coarse grained sand, trace silt, trace clay.							
	10	[Dotted]	<b>SILTY SAND</b> - Brown, moist, loose, fine to medium grained, with silt, trace clay.							
	4	[Dotted]	<b>SAND</b> - Brown, moist, compact, fine to medium grained, trace silt.							
	15		- Water noticed on sample at ~ 5.49 m.							
	5	[Dotted]	<b>SAND</b> - Brown, moist, compact, fine to medium grained, trace silt.							
	6	[Diagonal lines]	<b>SANDY SILT</b> - Brown, moist, firm, intermediate to high plasticity, trace oxidation.							
	20	[Diagonal lines]	<b>SILTY SAND</b> - Brown, moist, soft, fine to medium grained, trace oxidation.							
	7	[Dotted]	- Grey, no oxidation below 6.71 m.							
	7	[Dotted]	<b>SAND</b> - Grey, moist, compact, medium grained, some fine and coarse grained sand.							
	25	[Diagonal lines]	<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity. - Medium grained sand layer between 7.39 and 7.47 m.							
	8	[Dotted]	<b>SILTY SAND</b> - Grey, moist, soft, fine to medium grained sand, with silt. - Organic layer between 8.53 and 8.64 m.							
	9	[Diagonal lines]	<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity, trace fine grained sand.							
	30		- Increased sand between 9.75 and 9.96 m.							

GEO-TECHNICAL - SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\GEOLOG\SCHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

**SAMPLE TYPE**

**CONTRACTOR**  
Paddock Drilling Ltd.

**INSPECTOR**  
C. FRIESEN

**APPROVED**  
DRAFT

**DATE**  
11/26/12

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH		GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆	
	(m)	(ft)									PL	MC
				- Increased sand between 10.36 and 10.52 m.								
	11			<b>SILTY SAND</b> - Grey, moist, compact, medium grained, trace fine grained sand, trace clay. - Test hole squeezing at 10.67 m.		11.4						
	12			- 25 mm thick organic layer at 12.50 m.		11.6						
	13			- Decreased sand between 12.95 and 13.26 m.								
	14			<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Grey, moist, firm, high plasticity, trace coarse grained sand, trace fine grained gravel, trace silt nodules.								
	15											
	16											
	17			<b>SILT TILL</b> - Tan, moist, compact, with medium to coarse grained sand, some fine grained gravel, trace coarse grained gravel. - Loose, decreased gravel below 16.46 m.		16.8						
	18					17.4						
	19					17.7						
	20			<b>AUGER REFUSAL AT 18.34 m</b>		18.3						
	21			Notes: 1. Stratigraphy assumed from TH12-02 drilled ~3 m away. 2. Installed casagrande standpipe at a depth of 17.68 m with a stick-up of 0.91 m. 3. Installed PN 034983 at a depth of 11.58 m. below grade. 4. Backfilled test hole with silica sand between 17.68 and 16.76 m and bentonite chips from 16.76 m to grade.								

G:\TECHNICAL\SOIL LOG P\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-01\DESIGN\GEOLOGS\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEVERMANN.GPJ

SAMPLE TYPE		CONTRACTOR		INSPECTOR		APPROVED		DATE	
		Paddock Drilling Ltd.		C. FRIESEN		DRAFT		11/26/12	

**CLIENT** CITY OF WINNIPEG - WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT  
**PROJECT** Chief Peguis Bridge Sewer Replacement  
**SITE** West of Red River and South of Chief Peguis Trail  
**LOCATION** North of Existing Sewermain on the Upper Bank  
**DRILLING METHOD** CME Track Drill Rig, 125 mm ø Solid Stem and HQ Core Barrel

**JOB NO.** 12-0107-018  
**GROUND ELEV.** 230.84  
**TOP OF PVC ELEV.**  
**WATER ELEV.**  
**DATE DRILLED** 11/13/2012  
**UTM (m)** N 5,534,926  
 E 636,265

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft Δ	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆	
									20 40 60 80	20 40 60 80
			<p><b>SILTY CLAY FILL</b> - Black, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace rootlets.                      - Trace medium to coarse grained sand, trace fine to coarse grained gravel below 0.23 m.</p> <p><b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace coarse grained sand.                      - No sand below 1.22 m.</p>			S1				
			<p><b>SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT</b> - Light brown, moist, soft/loose, fine grained sand.</p>			S2				
			<p><b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace silt nodules (~1-3 mm diameter).                      - 10 mm diameter gravel piece at 3.73 m.</p>			S3				
			<p>- Grey below 5.49 m.                      - Firm below 6.10 m.</p>			S4				
			<p>- Slightly increased silt nodules (up to 5 mm diameter) below 9.14 m.</p>			S5				

GEO TECHNICAL - SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\GEOLOGS\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

**SAMPLE TYPE** Auger Grab Split Spoon Core Barrel

**CONTRACTOR** Paddock Drilling Ltd. **INSPECTOR** C. FRIESEN **APPROVED** DRAFT **DATE** 11/26/12

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆	
										PL	MC
11	35										
12	40		- Trace silt pockets below 12.19 m. - Trace fine grained gravel below 12.50 m.								
13											
14	45										
15	50		- Reduced silt, trace coarse grained sand, no fine grained gravel below 15.24 m.								
16											
17	55		- Occasional silt pockets/nodules below 16.92 m.								
18	60		- Grain Size Distribution: Gravel (0.7%), Sand (7.5%), Silt (19.4%), Clay (72.4%) at 17.68 m. - Trace fine grained gravel below 18.29 m.								
19											
20	65		<b>SILT TILL</b> - Tan with grey, moist, compact, fine to coarse grained sand, fine grained gravel, trace clay.								
21	70		- Auger refusal at 21.03 m on bedrock. Switched over to core below 21.03 m. <b>LIMESTONE BEDROCK</b> - White, competent, horizontal fractures.		21.0						

GEO-TECHNICAL-SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-011\DESIGN\GEOLOG\SCHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

SAMPLE TYPE Auger Grab Split Spoon Core Barrel

CONTRACTOR **Paddock Drilling Ltd.** INSPECTOR **C. FRIESEN** APPROVED **DRAFT** DATE **11/26/12**

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH		GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆		
	(m)	(ft)								PL	MC	LL
22				<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Thin clay seam at 21.77 m.</li> <li>Vertical fracture between 21.84 and 22.05 m.</li> </ul>								
23	75											
24												
25	80											
26												
27	85											
28												
29	90			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rubble zone between 28.46 and 28.52 m.</li> <li>Vertical fracture between 28.52 and 28.70 m.</li> </ul>								
30	95											
31												
32	100			<p><b>END OF TEST HOLE AT 30.02 m</b></p> <p>Notes:                      1. Installed casagrande standpipe at a depth of 30.02 m with a stick-up of 0.91 m.                      2. Backfilled test hole with silica sand between 30.02 and 27.58 m, bentonite chips from 27.58 to 23.93 m, slough from 23.93 to 21.03 m and bentonite chips from 21.03 m to grade.</p>								
33	105											
110												

GEO-TECHNICAL - SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-01\07-018\DESIGN\GEOLOG\SSCHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

SAMPLE TYPE  Auger Grab     Split Spoon     Core Barrel

CONTRACTOR **Paddock Drilling Ltd.**    INSPECTOR **C. FRIESEN**    APPROVED **DRAFT**    DATE **11/26/12**





**SUMMARY LOG**

REFERENCE NO.

HOLE NO.  
**TH12-03B**

SHEET 1 of 3

**CLIENT** CITY OF WINNIPEG - WATER AND WASTE DEPARTMENT  
**PROJECT** Chief Peguis Bridge Sewer Replacement  
**SITE** West of Red River and South of Chief Peguis Trail  
**LOCATION** ~2 m West of TH12-03  
**DRILLING METHOD** CME Track Drill Rig, 125 mm  $\varnothing$  Solid Stem

**JOB NO.** 12-0107-018  
**GROUND ELEV.**  
**TOP OF PVC ELEV.**  
**WATER ELEV.**  
**DATE DRILLED** 11/14/2012  
**UTM (m)** N  
 E

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲ DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft ▲	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★ Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆	
									20 40 60 80	20 40 60 80
			<b>SILTY CLAY FILL</b> - Black, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace rootlets. - Trace medium to coarse grained sand, trace fine to coarse grained gravel below 0.23 m.							
	1		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace coarse grained sand. - No sand below 1.22 m.							
	2		<b>SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT</b> - Light brown, moist, soft/loose, fine grained sand.							
	3									
	4		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> - Brown, moist, stiff, high plasticity, trace silt nodules (~1-3 mm diameter). - 10 mm diameter gravel piece at 3.73 m.							
	5									
	6		- Grey below 5.49 m. - Firm below 6.10 m.							
	7									
	8									
	9		- Slightly increased silt nodules (up to 5 mm diameter) below 9.14 m.							

G:\TECHNICAL\SOIL LOG PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\GEOLOGS\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

SAMPLE TYPE

**CONTRACTOR**  
Paddock Drilling Ltd.

**INSPECTOR**  
C. FRIESEN

**APPROVED**  
DRAFT

**DATE**  
11/26/12

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★
								DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆
								20 40 60 80	20 40 60 80
								20 40 60	PL MC LL %
35									
11									
40			- Trace silt pockets below 12.19 m.		11.6				
			- Trace fine grained gravel below 12.50 m.		11.8				
13									
45									
14									
50			- Reduced silt, trace coarse grained sand, no fine grained gravel below 15.24 m.						
16									
55			- Occasional silt pockets/nodules below 16.92 m.						
17									
60			- Trace fine grained gravel below 18.29 m.						
18									
65			<b>SILT TILL</b> - Tan with grey, moist, compact, fine to coarse grained sand, fine grained gravel, trace clay.		20.1				
20					20.7				
21			<b>AUGER REFUSAL AT 20.98 m</b>		21.0				
70									

Notes:  
1. Stratigraphy assumed from TH12-03 drilled ~2 m away.

SAMPLE TYPE

CONTRACTOR  
**Paddock Drilling Ltd.**

INSPECTOR  
**C. FRIESEN**

APPROVED  
**DRAFT**

DATE  
**11/26/12**

GEO TECHNICAL SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-0107-018\DESIGN\GEOLOG\GSC\CHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

ELEVATION (m)	DEPTH (m) (ft)	GRAPHICS	DESCRIPTION AND CLASSIFICATION	PIEZ. LOG	DEPTH (m)	SAMPLE TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	SPT (N) blows/0.15 m ▲	Cu POCKET PEN (kPa) ★
									DYNAMIC CONE (N) blows/ft △	Cu TORVANE (kPa) ◆
									20 40 60	20 40 60 80
									20 40 60	PL MC LL % 20 40 60 80
22			2. Installed casagrande standpipe at a depth of 20.95 m with a stick-up of 0.66 m.							
	75		3. Installed PN 034985 at a depth of 11.64 m. below grade.							
			4. Backfilled test hole with silica sand between 20.98 and 20.12 m and bentonite chips from 20.12 m to grade.							
			5. Test hole squeezing at 8.53 m shortly after drilling.							
23										
24										
	80									
25										
26										
	85									
27										
28										
	90									
29										
	95									
30										
	100									
31										
32										
	105									
33										
	110									

GEOTECHNICAL SOIL LOG P:\PROJECTS\2012\12-01\07-01\DESIGN\GEOLOG\3\SCHIEF PEGUIS TRAIL SEWERMAIN.GPJ

SAMPLE TYPE			
CONTRACTOR <b>Paddock Drilling Ltd.</b>	INSPECTOR <b>C. FRIESEN</b>	APPROVED <b>DRAFT</b>	DATE <b>11/26/12</b>

**Appendix C**  
**Laboratory Testing Results**

---



# Lab Requisition

TREK GEOTECHNICAL  
 1712 St. James Street  
 Winnipeg, Manitoba R3H 0L3  
 T 204.975.9433 F 204.975.9435

PROJECT: Detailed Design N.K. Feeder main PROJECT NO: 0115 004 00  
 CLIENT: Associated Engineering FIELD TECHNICIAN: SR

TEST HOLE NUMBER	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	TARE NUMBER (LAB USE ONLY)	MOISTURE	VISUAL CLASS.	ATTERBERG LIMITS	HYDROMETER	GRADATION	STD. PROCTOR	UNCONFINED AND AUXILIARY TESTS	Soil Description/ Comments
H13-01	G29	0.5-1'		X							CLAY (CFII)
	G30	3-3.5'		X							CLAY (CFII)
	G31	4.5-5'		X							CLAY (CFII)
	G32	6-7'		X							CLAY
	G33	9.5-10'		X							ALUVIAL SILT
	T34	10-12'				/			X		-11-
	G35	14-14.5'		X							-11-
	SB36A	15.5-16.5'		X							-11-
	SB36B	17.5-18.5'		X							"
	SB36C	19.5-20'		X							"
	SB37A	20-21		X							"
	SB37B	21'6"-21'8"		X							"
	SB37C	24-24.5'		X							"
	SB38A	26-27'		X							"
	SB38B	28-29'		X							Sand silty
	SB39	33-34'		X			X				"
	SB40	37-38		X							" silt
	T41	40-42'							X		Sand silty / clay - maybe transition
	SB42A	42-43.5'		X							Sand silty
	SB42B	43.5-45'		X		/					Silt clayey
	SB43	49-50'		X			X				Sand
	SS44	50-51.5'		X							"
	SS45B	55-56.5'		X							Till
	SS45A	54-55'									NO MOISTURE (JUST TO LOOK)
✓	CB56	55'6"-61'									
✓	CB57	61'-66'									
✓	CB58	66'-71'									
✓	CB59	71'-76'									
✓	CB60	76'-81'									
✓	CB61	81-86									
✓	CB62	86-91'									
✓	CB63	91'-96'									
✓	CB64	96'-101'									
✓	CB65	101-106'									
✓	CB66	106'-111'									
✓	CB67	111'-116'									
✓	CB68	116'-121'									

REQUESTED BY: BT  
 REQUISITION DATE: Nov 15/13  
 REPORT TO: NJF

REQUISITION NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
 SHEET 1 OF 3

PROJECT: Detailed Design N.K. Feedermain  
CLIENT: Associated Engineering

PROJECT NO: 0115 004 00  
FIELD TECHNICIAN: SR / B.H.

TEST HOLE NUMBER	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	TARE NUMBER (LAB USE ONLY)	MOISTURE	VISUAL CLASS.	ATTERBERG LIMITS	HYDROMETER	GRADATION	STD. PROCTOR	UNCONFINED AND AUXILIARY TESTS	Push Tube + Visual	Soil Description/ Comments
FH13-04	SB1	6'-8'		X								CLAY
	SB2	8'-10'		X								
	T3	10'-12'		X								
	SB4	12'-14'		X								
	SB5	14'-16'		X								
	SB6	16'-18'		X								
	SB7	18'-20'		X								
	T8	20'-22'		X						X		
	SB9	22'-24'		X								
	SB10	24'-26'		X								
	SB11	26'-28'		X								
	SB12	28'-30'		X								
	T13	30'-32'		X						X		
	SB14	32'-34'		X								
	SB15	34'-36'		X								
	SB16	36'-38'		X								
	SB17	38'-40'		X								
	T18	40'-42'		X								
	SB19	42'-44'		X								
	SB20	44'-46'		X								
	SB21	46'-48'		X								
	SB22	49'-50'		X								
SS SB23	50'-51.5'		X								SILT (TILL)	
SB24	52'-54'		X								CLAY	
SS SB25	54'-54'4"		X								SILT (TILL)	
CB26	55'-61'		X								ROCK (Boulder)	
CB27	61'-66'		X								limestone	
CB28	66'-71'		X								limestone	
113-04	*G29	1.5-2.5'		X								CLAY
adjacent	*G30	4'-5'		X								f
	*G31	6'-7'		X								f
	*G32	9-10'		X								f

\* Re label <sup>samples</sup> tags :  
 G-29 → 46  
 G-30 → 47  
 G-31 → 48  
 G-32 → 49

REQUESTED BY: BT  
 REQUISITION DATE: Nov 15/13  
 REPORT TO: NJF

REQUISITION NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
 SHEET 2 OF 3



# Lab Requisition

TREK GEOTECHNICAL  
1712 St. James Street  
Winnipeg, Manitoba R3H 0L3  
T 204.975.9433 F 204.975.9435

PROJECT: Detailed Design N.K. Feedermain  
CLIENT: Associated Engineering

PROJECT NO: 0115 004 00  
FIELD TECHNICIAN: ML

TEST HOLE NUMBER	SAMPLE NUMBER	DEPTH OF SAMPLE	TARE NUMBER (LAB USE ONLY)	MOISTURE	VISUAL CLASS.	ATTERBERG LIMITS	HYDROMETER	GRADATION	STD. PROCTOR	UNCONFINED AND AUXILIARY TESTS	Soil Description/ Comments
THB-05	SS-69	53'-54.5"		✓							
✓	CB70	53.5' - 55'									
✓	CB71	55' - 60'									
✓	CB72	60' - 65'									
✓	CB73	65' - 70'									
✓	CB74	70' - 75'									
✓	CB75	75' - 80' 79' 10"									
✓	CB76	79' 10" - 84' 8"									
✓	CB77	84' 8" - 89' 7"									
✓	CB78	89' 7" - 94' 3"									
✓	CB79	94' 3" - 99' 1"									
✓	CB80	99' 1" - 106' 102' 6"									
✓	CB81	102' 6" - 106'									
✓	CB82	106' - 110"									
✓	CB83	110' - 115'									
✓	4.										

REQUESTED BY: BT  
REQUISITION DATE: Nov 15/13  
REPORT TO: NJF

REQUISITION NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
SHEET 3 OF 3



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
 1712 St. James Street  
 Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
 Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435

**Moisture Content Report  
 ASTM D2216-98**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Sample Date** 22-Oct-13  
**Test Date** 24-Oct-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

Test Hole	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01
Depth (m)	0.2 - 0.3	0.9 - 1.1	1.4 - 1.5	1.8 - 2.1	2.9 - 4.6	5.9 - 6.1
Sample #	G29	G30	G31	G32	G33	G35
Tare ID	P30	K3	F32	F124	D8	N99
Mass of tare	8.3	8.4	8.2	8.3	8.4	8.4
Mass wet + tare	339.3	399.8	439.9	224.7	390.2	403.8
Mass dry + tare	270.3	327.4	352.9	191.4	306.6	309.4
Mass water	69.0	72.4	87.0	33.3	83.6	94.4
Mass dry soil	262.0	319.0	344.7	183.1	298.2	301.0
Moisture %	26.3%	22.7%	25.2%	18.2%	28.0%	31.4%

Test Hole	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01
Depth (m)	4.3 - 4.4	4.7 - 4.9	5.3 - 5.6	6.1 - 6.4	6.6 - 6.6	7.3 - 7.5
Sample #	SB 36A	SB 36B	SB 36C	SB 37A	SB 37B	SB 37C
Tare ID	F104	E10	Z30	Z75	F102	F66
Mass of tare	8.5	8.8	8.3	8.4	8.5	8.4
Mass wet + tare	588	468.8	653.3	446.3	387.3	649.9
Mass dry + tare	444.3	363.1	479.5	334.3	296.3	498.5
Mass water	143.7	105.7	173.8	112.0	91.0	151.4
Mass dry soil	435.8	354.3	471.2	325.9	287.8	490.1
Moisture %	33.0%	29.8%	36.9%	34.4%	31.6%	30.9%

Test Hole	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01
Depth (m)	7.9 - 8.2	8.5 - 8.8	10.1 - 10.4	11.3 - 11.6	12.8 - 13.3	13.3 - 13.7
Sample #	SB 38A	SB 38B	SB 39	SB 40	SB 42A	SB 42B
Tare ID	H79	E96	N90	Z64	Z101	F33
Mass of tare	8.4	8.6	8.5	8.2	8.3	8.4
Mass wet + tare	398.0	599.7	656.3	470.9	474.1	457.6
Mass dry + tare	296.2	486.4	501.9	379.0	386.3	361.1
Mass water	101.8	113.3	154.4	91.9	87.8	96.5
Mass dry soil	287.8	477.8	493.4	370.8	378.0	352.7
Moisture %	35.4%	23.7%	31.3%	24.8%	23.2%	27.4%





www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
 1712 St. James Street  
 Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
 Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435

**Moisture Content Report  
 ASTM D2216-98**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Sample Date** 22-Oct-13  
**Test Date** 24-Oct-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

Test Hole	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-01	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04
Depth (m)	14.9 - 15.2	15.2 - 15.7	16.8 - 17.2	1.8 - 2.4	2.4 - 3.0	3.7 - 4.3
Sample #	SB 43	SB 44	SB 45B	SB 1	SB 2	SB 4
Tare ID	W39	F29	N54	F56	D29	Z50
Mass of tare	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.2	8.1	8.2
Mass wet + tare	403.8	379.1	294.2	359.7	403.0	626.7
Mass dry + tare	318.9	315.9	268.0	228.2	258.3	410.1
Mass water	84.9	63.2	26.2	131.5	144.7	216.6
Mass dry soil	310.7	307.6	259.7	220.0	250.2	401.9
Moisture %	27.3%	20.5%	10.1%	59.8%	57.8%	53.9%

Test Hole	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04
Depth (m)	4.3 - 4.9	4.9 - 5.5	5.5 - 6.1	6.7 - 7.3	7.3 - 7.9	7.9 - 8.5
Sample #	SB 5	SB 6	SB 7	SB 9	SB 10	SB 11
Tare ID	N71	N37	H41	N68	P21	W16
Mass of tare	8.4	8.6	8.4	8.3	8.5	8.3
Mass wet + tare	466.7	502.5	369.4	402.5	481.1	505.9
Mass dry + tare	306.8	327.4	250.7	283.3	326.2	344.5
Mass water	159.9	175.1	118.7	119.2	154.9	161.4
Mass dry soil	298.4	318.8	242.3	275.0	317.7	336.2
Moisture %	53.6%	54.9%	49.0%	43.3%	48.8%	48.0%

Test Hole	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04
Depth (m)	8.5 - 9.1	9.8 - 10.4	10.4 - 11.0	11.0 - 11.6	11.6 - 12.2	12.8 - 13.4
Sample #	SB 12	SB 14	SB 15	SB 16	SB 17	SB 19
Tare ID	F89	F53	F55	Z130	W27	A103
Mass of tare	8.3	8.5	8.4	8.3	8.2	8.4
Mass wet + tare	649.4	602.3	542.2	781.3	552.8	551.4
Mass dry + tare	421.3	472.1	363.0	520.3	354.8	382.3
Mass water	228.1	130.2	179.2	261.0	198.0	169.1
Mass dry soil	413.0	463.6	354.6	512.0	346.6	373.9
Moisture %	55.2%	28.1%	50.5%	51.0%	57.1%	45.2%



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
 1712 St. James Street  
 Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
 Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435

**Moisture Content Report  
 ASTM D2216-98**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Sample Date** 22-Oct-13  
**Test Date** 24-Oct-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

Test Hole	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04
Depth (m)	13.4 - 14.0	14.0 - 14.6	15.1 - 15.2	15.2 - 15.7	15.8 - 16.5	16.5 - 16.6
Sample #	SB 20	SB 21	SB 22	SB 23	SB 24	SB 25
Tare ID	A26	E38	W65	W15	P08	F14
Mass of tare	8.2	8.3	8.3	8.3	8.5	8.5
Mass wet + tare	402.6	568.1	582.7	350.7	486.7	337.1
Mass dry + tare	265.1	415.6	529.6	261.9	439.4	310.3
Mass water	137.5	152.5	53.1	88.8	47.3	26.8
Mass dry soil	256.9	407.3	521.3	253.6	430.9	301.8
Moisture %	53.5%	37.4%	10.2%	35.0%	11.0%	8.9%

Test Hole	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04	TH13-04		
Depth (m)	0.5 - 0.8	1.2 - 1.5	1.8 - 2.1	2.7 - 3.0		
Sample #	G46	G47	G48	G49		
Tare ID	D15	K1	N65	N72		
Mass of tare	8.4	8.3	8.4	8.4		
Mass wet + tare	366.8	373.1	414.0	380.5		
Mass dry + tare	296.0	294.2	260.6	244.0		
Mass water	70.8	78.9	153.4	136.5		
Mass dry soil	287.6	285.9	252.2	235.6		
Moisture %	24.6%	27.6%	60.8%	57.9%		

Test Hole						
Depth (m)						
Sample #						
Tare ID						
Mass of tare						
Mass wet + tare						
Mass dry + tare						
Mass water						
Mass dry soil						
Moisture %						




**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed design of North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** T 34  
**Depth (m)** 3-3.5  
**Sample Date** 12-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 25-Nov-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

<b>Liquid Limit</b>	45
<b>Plastic Limit</b>	15
<b>Plasticity Index</b>	29

**Liquid Limit**

Trial #	1	2	3	4	5
Number of Blows (N)	34	15	23		
Mass Wet Soil + Tare (g)	18.021	19.111	19.345		
Mass Dry Soil + Tare (g)	16.832	17.544	17.640		
Mass Tare (g)	14.060	14.181	13.883		
Mass Water (g)	1.189	1.567	1.705		
Mass Dry Soil (g)	2.772	3.363	3.757		
Moisture Content (%)	42.893	46.595	45.382		



**Plastic Limit**

Trial #	1	2	3	4	5
Mass Wet Soil + Tare (g)	20.660	20.395			
Mass Dry Soil + Tare (g)	19.799	19.534			
Mass Tare (g)	14.222	13.986			
Mass Water (g)	0.861	0.861			
Mass Dry Soil (g)	5.577	5.548			
Moisture Content (%)	15.438	15.519			




**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed design of North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** T 08  
**Depth (m)** 6-6.7  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 25-Nov-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

<b>Liquid Limit</b>	75
<b>Plastic Limit</b>	18
<b>Plasticity Index</b>	57

**Liquid Limit**

Trial #	1	2	3	4	5
Number of Blows (N)	30	24	15		
Mass Wet Soil + Tare (g)	19.850	20.389	18.145		
Mass Dry Soil + Tare (g)	17.367	17.635	16.305		
Mass Tare (g)	13.906	14.061	14.047		
Mass Water (g)	2.483	2.754	1.840		
Mass Dry Soil (g)	3.461	3.574	2.258		
Moisture Content (%)	71.742	77.057	81.488		



**Plastic Limit**

Trial #	1	2	3	4	5
Mass Wet Soil + Tare (g)	20.611	20.638			
Mass Dry Soil + Tare (g)	19.619	19.630			
Mass Tare (g)	14.222	13.967			
Mass Water (g)	0.992	1.008			
Mass Dry Soil (g)	5.397	5.663			
Moisture Content (%)	18.381	17.800			




**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed design of North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** SB 42B  
**Depth (m)** 13-13.7  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 25-Nov-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

<b>Liquid Limit</b>	32
<b>Plastic Limit</b>	15
<b>Plasticity Index</b>	17

**Liquid Limit**

Trial #	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Number of Blows (N)</b>	15	20	29		
<b>Mass Wet Soil + Tare (g)</b>	19.717	20.793	21.239		
<b>Mass Dry Soil + Tare (g)</b>	18.267	19.125	19.516		
<b>Mass Tare (g)</b>	14.065	14.069	14.136		
<b>Mass Water (g)</b>	1.450	1.668	1.723		
<b>Mass Dry Soil (g)</b>	4.202	5.056	5.380		
<b>Moisture Content (%)</b>	34.507	32.991	32.026		



**Plastic Limit**

Trial #	1	2	3	4	5
<b>Mass Wet Soil + Tare (g)</b>	20.928	20.248			
<b>Mass Dry Soil + Tare (g)</b>	20.033	19.440			
<b>Mass Tare (g)</b>	14.121	14.019			
<b>Mass Water (g)</b>	0.895	0.808			
<b>Mass Dry Soil (g)</b>	5.912	5.421			
<b>Moisture Content (%)</b>	15.139	14.905			



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
 1712 St. James Street  
 Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
 Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435


**Grain Size Analysis (Hydrometer Method)  
 ASTM D422**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** SB 39  
**Depth (m)** 4.6 - 5.0  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 22-Nov-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

<b>Gravel</b>	0.0%
<b>Sand</b>	50.7%
<b>Silt</b>	27.3%
<b>Clay</b>	22.0%

**Particle Size Distribution Curve**



Gravel		Sand		Silt and Clay	
Particle Size (mm)	Percent Passing	Particle Size (mm)	Percent Passing	Particle Size (mm)	Percent Passing
50.0	100.00	4.75	100.00	0.0750	49.26
37.5	100.00	2.00	100.00	0.0484	38.46
25.0	100.00	0.825	100.00	0.0343	36.87
19.0	100.00	0.425	99.84	0.0242	35.28
12.5	100.00	0.180	83.00	0.0171	33.69
9.50	100.00	0.150	76.96	0.0121	32.11
4.75	100.00	0.075	49.26	0.0089	28.93
				0.0064	27.34
				0.0045	25.75
				0.0033	23.74
				0.0024	21.74
				0.0017	19.86
				0.0010	17.39



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
 1712 St. James Street  
 Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
 Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435


**Grain Size Analysis (Hydrometer Method)**  
**ASTM D422**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** SB 43  
**Depth (m)** 4.6 - 5.0  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 22-Nov-13  
**Technician** Chiran Peiris

<b>Gravel</b>	0.0%
<b>Sand</b>	50.7%
<b>Silt</b>	27.3%
<b>Clay</b>	22.0%

**Particle Size Distribution Curve**



Gravel		Sand		Silt and Clay	
Particle Size (mm)	Percent Passing	Particle Size (mm)	Percent Passing	Particle Size (mm)	Percent Passing
50.0	100.00	4.75	100.00	0.0750	13.29
37.5	100.00	2.00	100.00	0.0484	8.28
25.0	100.00	0.825	99.98	0.0343	8.28
19.0	100.00	0.425	99.96	0.0242	8.28
12.5	100.00	0.180	94.55	0.0171	8.28
9.50	100.00	0.150	75.09	0.0121	8.28
4.75	100.00	0.075	13.29	0.0089	8.28
				0.0064	8.28
				0.0045	8.28
				0.0033	6.27
				0.0024	5.86
				0.0017	5.57
				0.0010	4.68



**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** T34  
**Depth (m)** 3.0 - 3.7  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 20-Nov-13  
**Technician** Hachem Ahmed

**Tube Extraction**

**Recovery (mm)** 550

**Bottom - 3.7 m**

**3.0 m - Top**

PP		Qu
Tv		Y <sub>Bulk</sub>
Visual	Some clay	With clay
Moisture		
180 mm	210 mm	160 mm

**Visual Classification**

<b>Material</b>	Silt (Alluvial)
<b>Composition</b>	Some clay to with clay
Trace sand	
Trace oxidation	
Trace organics (roots)	

<b>Color</b>	dark grey
<b>Moisture</b>	moist
<b>Consistency</b>	stiff
<b>Plasticity</b>	high plasticity
<b>Structure</b>	-
<b>Gradation</b>	-

**Torvane**

<b>Reading</b>	0.70
<b>Vane Size (s,m,l)</b>	m
<b>Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)</b>	68.7

**Pocket Penetrometer**

<b>Reading</b>	1	1.30
	2	1.60
	3	1.40
	Average	1.43
<b>Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)</b>		70.3

**Moisture Content**

<b>Tare ID</b>	N03
<b>Mass tare (g)</b>	8.4
<b>Mass wet + tare (g)</b>	493.8
<b>Mass dry + tare (g)</b>	370.3
<b>Moisture %</b>	34.1%

**Unit Weight**

<b>Bulk Weight (g)</b>	1097.00
<b>Length (mm)</b>	1 140.95
	2 140.82
	3 140.93
	4 140.14
<b>Average Length (m)</b>	0.141
<b>Diam. (mm)</b>	1 71.94
	2 71.66
	3 72.51
	4 72.37
<b>Average Diameter (m)</b>	0.072

<b>Volume (m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	5.75E-04
<b>Bulk Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	18.7
<b>Bulk Unit Weight (pcf)</b>	119.1
<b>Dry Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	14.0
<b>Dry Unit Weight (pcf)</b>	88.8



**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** T34  
**Depth (m)** 3.0 - 3.7  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 20-Nov-13  
**Technician** Hachem Ahmed

Unconfined Strength

	kPa	ksf
Max $q_u$	45.1	0.9
Max $S_u$	22.5	0.5

Specimen Data

**Description** Silt (Alluvial) - Some clay to with clay, Trace sand, Trace oxidation, Trace organics (roots), dark grey, moist, stiff, high plasticity

<b>Length</b>	140.7	(mm)	<b>Moisture %</b>	34%	
<b>Diameter</b>	72.1	(mm)	<b>Bulk Unit Wt.</b>	18.7	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>L/D Ratio</b>	2.0		<b>Dry Unit Wt.</b>	14.0	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Initial Area</b>	0.00409	(m <sup>2</sup> )	<b>Liquid Limit</b>	-	
<b>Load Rate</b>	1.00	(%/min)	<b>Plastic Limit</b>	-	
			<b>Plasticity Index</b>	-	

Undrained Shear Strength Tests

Torvane

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	kPa	ksf
tsf		
0.70	68.7	1.43
<b>Vane Size</b>		
m		

Pocket Penetrometer

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	kPa	ksf
tsf		
1.30	63.8	1.33
1.60	78.5	1.64
1.40	68.7	1.43
<b>1.43</b>	<b>70.3</b>	<b>1.47</b>

Failure Geometry

Sketch:





Photo:



Project No. 0115 004 00  
 Client Associated Engineering  
 Project Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

Unconfined Compression Test Graph



Unconfined Compression Test Data

Deformation Dial Reading	Load Ring Dial Reading	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
0	0	0.0000	0.00	0.004085	0.0	0.00	0.00
10	2	0.2540	0.18	0.004092	6.5	1.60	0.80
20	4	0.5080	0.36	0.004100	13.1	3.19	1.59
30	10	0.7620	0.54	0.004107	32.7	7.96	3.98
40	15	1.0160	0.72	0.004115	49.1	11.93	5.97
50	17	1.2700	0.90	0.004122	55.7	13.50	6.75
60	20	1.5240	1.08	0.004130	65.5	15.86	7.93
70	23	1.7780	1.26	0.004137	75.3	18.21	9.11
80	25	2.0320	1.44	0.004145	82.4	19.89	9.94
90	27	2.2860	1.62	0.004153	89.0	21.43	10.72
100	29	2.5400	1.81	0.004160	95.6	22.98	11.49
110	31	2.7940	1.99	0.004168	102.2	24.53	12.26
120	32	3.0480	2.17	0.004176	105.5	25.27	12.63
130	34	3.3020	2.35	0.004183	112.1	26.80	13.40
140	35	3.5560	2.53	0.004191	115.4	27.53	13.77
150	36	3.8100	2.71	0.004199	118.7	28.27	14.13
160	37	4.0640	2.89	0.004207	122.0	29.00	14.50
170	38	4.3180	3.07	0.004214	125.3	29.73	14.87
180	39	4.5720	3.25	0.004222	128.6	30.46	15.23
190	40	4.8260	3.43	0.004230	131.9	31.18	15.59
200	41	5.0800	3.61	0.004238	135.2	31.90	15.95
210	42	5.3340	3.79	0.004246	138.5	32.61	16.31
220	43	5.5880	3.97	0.004254	141.8	33.32	16.66
230	44	5.8420	4.15	0.004262	145.1	34.03	17.02



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
1712 St. James Street  
Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435

**Unconfined Compressive Strength**  
**ASTM D2166**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Unconfined Compression Test Data (cont'd)**

Elapsed Time (s)	Axial Disp. (mm)	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
240	45	6.0960	4.3323	0.004270	148.3	34.74	17.37
250	46	6.3500	4.51	0.004278	151.7	35.46	17.73
260	47	6.6040	4.69	0.004286	155.0	36.16	18.08
270	48	6.8580	4.87	0.004294	158.3	36.85	18.43
280	48	7.1120	5.05	0.004303	158.3	36.78	18.39
290	49	7.3660	5.23	0.004311	161.6	37.48	18.74
300	50	7.6200	5.42	0.004319	164.9	38.17	19.08
310	51	7.8740	5.60	0.004327	168.1	38.86	19.43
320	52	8.1280	5.78	0.004336	171.4	39.54	19.77
330	53	8.3820	5.96	0.004344	174.7	40.22	20.11
340	53	8.6360	6.14	0.004352	174.7	40.15	20.07
350	54	8.8900	6.32	0.004361	178.0	40.82	20.41
360	55	9.1440	6.50	0.004369	181.4	41.51	20.75
370	55	9.3980	6.68	0.004377	181.4	41.43	20.71
380	56	9.6520	6.86	0.004386	184.6	42.10	21.05
390	56	9.9060	7.04	0.004394	184.6	42.02	21.01
400	57	10.1600	7.22	0.004403	187.9	42.68	21.34
410	57	10.4140	7.40	0.004412	187.9	42.60	21.30
420	58	10.6680	7.58	0.004420	191.2	43.26	21.63
430	58	10.9220	7.76	0.004429	191.2	43.18	21.59
440	59	11.1760	7.94	0.004438	194.5	43.84	21.92
450	59	11.4300	8.12	0.004446	194.5	43.75	21.87
460	59	11.6840	8.30	0.004455	194.5	43.66	21.83
470	60	11.9380	8.48	0.004464	197.8	44.31	22.16
480	60	12.1920	8.66	0.004473	197.8	44.23	22.11
490	60	12.4460	8.85	0.004481	197.8	44.14	22.07
500	60	12.7000	9.03	0.004490	197.8	44.05	22.03
510	61	12.9540	9.21	0.004499	201.1	44.70	22.35
520	61	13.2080	9.39	0.004508	201.1	44.61	22.30
530	61	13.4620	9.57	0.004517	201.1	44.52	22.26
540	61	13.7160	9.75	0.004526	201.1	44.43	22.22
550	62	13.9700	9.93	0.004535	204.4	45.07	22.53
560	62	14.2240	10.11	0.004544	204.4	44.98	22.49
570	62	14.4780	10.29	0.004554	204.4	44.89	22.44
580	62	14.7320	10.47	0.004563	204.4	44.80	22.40
590	61	14.9860	10.65	0.004572	201.1	43.99	21.99
600	60	15.2400	10.83	0.004581	197.8	43.18	21.59
620	59	15.7480	11.19	0.004600	194.5	42.29	21.14
640	58	16.2560	11.55	0.004619	191.2	41.40	20.70
660	57	16.7640	11.91	0.004638	187.9374	40.52	20.26
680	56	17.2720	12.27	0.004657	184.6457	39.65	19.83
700	54	17.7800	12.64	0.004676	178.0178	38.07	19.04
720	52	18.2880	13.00	0.004695	171.4345	36.51	18.26
740	51	18.7960	13.36	0.004715	168.1428	35.66	17.83




Project No. 0115 004 00  
 Client Associated Engineering  
 Project Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

Test Hole TH13-01  
 Sample # T41  
 Depth (m) 12 - 12.8  
 Sample Date 15-Nov-13  
 Test Date 21-Nov-13  
 Technician Hachem Ahmed

Tube Extraction

Recovery (mm) 630



Visual Classification

Material	Caly and silt (Alluvial)
Composition	Some silt to silty
Trace organics	
Trace oxidation	
Color	Dark grey
Moisture	Moist
Consistency	Stiff
Plasticity	Intermediate
Structure	-
Gradation	-

Torvane

Reading	0.52
Vane Size (s,m,l)	m
Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	51.0

Pocket Penetrometer

Reading	1	1.10
	2	1.20
	3	1.10
	Average	1.13
Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)		55.6

Moisture Content

Tare ID	f151
Mass tare (g)	8.4
Mass wet + tare (g)	409.4
Mass dry + tare (g)	309.3
Moisture %	33.3%

Unit Weight

Bulk Weight (g)	1161.70
Length (mm)	1 151.52
	2 151.64
	3 151.82
	4 151.37
Average Length (m)	0.152
Diam. (mm)	1 72.38
	2 72.58
	3 72.38
	4 72.55
Average Diameter (m)	0.072

Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	6.25E-04
Bulk Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	18.2
Bulk Unit Weight (pcf)	116.0
Dry Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	13.7
Dry Unit Weight (pcf)	87.0

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-01  
**Sample #** T41  
**Depth (m)** 12 - 12.8  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 21-Nov-13  
**Technician** Hachem Ahmed

Unconfined Strength

	<b>kPa</b>	<b>ksf</b>
<b>Max <math>q_u</math></b>	106.6	2.2
<b>Max <math>S_u</math></b>	53.3	1.1

Specimen Data

**Description** Caly and silt (Alluvial) - Some silty to silt, Trace organics, Trace oxidation, Dark grey, Moist, Stiff, Intermediate

<b>Length</b>	151.6	(mm)	<b>Moisture %</b>	33%	
<b>Diameter</b>	72.5	(mm)	<b>Bulk Unit Wt.</b>	18.2	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>L/D Ratio</b>	2.1		<b>Dry Unit Wt.</b>	13.7	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Initial Area</b>	0.00413	(m <sup>2</sup> )	<b>Liquid Limit</b>	-	
<b>Load Rate</b>	1.00	(%/min)	<b>Plastic Limit</b>	-	
			<b>Plasticity Index</b>	-	

Undrained Shear Strength Tests

Torvane

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	<b>kPa</b>	<b>ksf</b>
tsf		
0.52	51.0	1.07
<b>Vane Size</b>		
m		

Pocket Penetrometer

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	<b>kPa</b>	<b>ksf</b>
tsf		
1.10	54.0	1.13
1.20	58.9	1.23
1.10	54.0	1.13
1.13	55.6	1.16

Failure Geometry

Sketch:





Photo:



Project No. 0115 004 00  
 Client Associated Engineering  
 Project Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

Unconfined Compression Test Graph



Unconfined Compression Test Data

Deformation Dial Reading	Load Ring Dial Reading	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
0	0	0.0000	0.00	0.004125	0.0	0.00	0.00
10	3	0.2540	0.17	0.004132	9.8	2.37	1.19
20	7	0.5080	0.34	0.004139	22.9	5.53	2.76
30	16	0.7620	0.50	0.004146	52.4	12.63	6.32
40	25	1.0160	0.67	0.004153	82.4	19.85	9.92
50	32	1.2700	0.84	0.004160	105.5	25.36	12.68
60	38	1.5240	1.01	0.004167	125.3	30.07	15.04
70	44	1.7780	1.17	0.004174	145.1	34.75	17.38
80	50	2.0320	1.34	0.004181	164.9	39.43	19.71
90	56	2.2860	1.51	0.004188	184.6	44.09	22.04
100	62	2.5400	1.68	0.004195	204.4	48.72	24.36
110	68	2.7940	1.84	0.004203	224.2	53.35	26.67
120	74	3.0480	2.01	0.004210	244.0	57.96	28.98
130	80	3.3020	2.18	0.004217	263.8	62.55	31.28
140	86	3.5560	2.35	0.004224	283.5	67.12	33.56
150	91	3.8100	2.51	0.004231	300.0	70.91	35.45
160	97	4.0640	2.68	0.004239	319.8	75.45	37.73
170	101	4.3180	2.85	0.004246	333.1	78.45	39.22
180	105	4.5720	3.02	0.004253	346.6	81.48	40.74
190	111	4.8260	3.18	0.004261	366.8	86.08	43.04
200	115	5.0800	3.35	0.004268	380.2	89.09	44.54
210	118	5.3340	3.52	0.004276	390.3	91.29	45.65
220	122	5.5880	3.69	0.004283	403.8	94.28	47.14
230	125	5.8420	3.85	0.004290	413.9	96.47	48.24



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
1712 St. James Street  
Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435

**Unconfined Compressive Strength**  
**ASTM D2166**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Unconfined Compression Test Data (cont'd)**

Elapsed Time (s)	Axial Disp. (mm)	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
240	127	6.0960	4.0214	0.004298	420.6	97.87	48.93
250	130	6.3500	4.19	0.004305	430.7	100.04	50.02
260	131	6.6040	4.36	0.004313	434.1	100.65	50.32
270	133	6.8580	4.52	0.004321	440.8	102.03	51.01
280	134	7.1120	4.69	0.004328	444.2	102.63	51.31
290	136	7.3660	4.86	0.004336	451.0	104.01	52.00
300	137	7.6200	5.03	0.004343	454.3	104.59	52.30
310	138	7.8740	5.19	0.004351	457.7	105.19	52.59
320	139	8.1280	5.36	0.004359	461.1	105.78	52.89
330	140	8.3820	5.53	0.004367	464.4	106.35	53.18
340	140	8.6360	5.70	0.004374	464.4	106.16	53.08
350	140	8.8900	5.86	0.004382	464.4	105.98	52.99
360	141	9.1440	6.03	0.004390	467.8	106.56	53.28
370	141	9.3980	6.20	0.004398	467.8	106.37	53.18
380	141	9.6520	6.37	0.004406	467.8	106.18	53.09
390	141	9.9060	6.53	0.004414	467.8	105.99	52.99
400	141	10.1600	6.70	0.004421	467.8	105.80	52.90
410	141	10.4140	6.87	0.004429	467.8	105.61	52.80
420	141	10.6680	7.04	0.004437	467.8	105.42	52.71
430	140	10.9220	7.21	0.004445	464.4	104.47	52.23
440	140	11.1760	7.37	0.004453	464.4	104.28	52.14
450	139	11.4300	7.54	0.004462	461.1	103.34	51.67
460	139	11.6840	7.71	0.004470	461.1	103.15	51.58
470	138	11.9380	7.88	0.004478	457.7	102.21	51.11
480	137	12.1920	8.04	0.004486	454.3	101.27	50.64
490	136	12.4460	8.21	0.004494	451.0	100.34	50.17
500	135	12.7000	8.38	0.004502	447.6	99.41	49.71
510	134	12.9540	8.55	0.004511	444.2	98.48	49.24
520	133	13.2080	8.71	0.004519	440.8	97.55	48.78
530	132	13.4620	8.88	0.004527	437.5	96.64	48.32
540	131	13.7160	9.05	0.004536	434.1	95.71	47.86
550	130	13.9700	9.22	0.004544	430.7	94.79	47.40
560	129	14.2240	9.38	0.004552	427.4	93.88	46.94
570	129	14.4780	9.55	0.004561	427.4	93.71	46.86
580	128	14.7320	9.72	0.004569	424.0	92.80	46.40
590	128	14.9860	9.89	0.004578	424.0	92.62	46.31
600	127	15.2400	10.05	0.004586	420.6	91.72	45.86
620	126	15.7480	10.39	0.004603	417.2	90.64	45.32
640	123	16.2560	10.72	0.004621	407.1	88.11	44.06
660	119	16.7640	11.06	0.004638	393.6676	84.88	42.44
680	114	17.2720	11.39	0.004656	376.8533	80.95	40.47
700	105	17.7800	11.73	0.004673	346.5609	74.16	37.08



**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-04  
**Sample #** T08  
**Depth (m)** 6.1 - 6.7  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 21-Nov-13  
**Technician** HA

**Tube Extraction**

**Recovery (mm)** 450

**Bottom - 6.7**

**6.1 m - Top**

PP Tv  Visual  Moisture	Qu  Y <sub>Bulk</sub>	
120 mm	170 mm	160 mm

**Visual Classification**

<b>Material</b>	Clay
<b>Composition</b>	Silty
Trace silt inclusions ( < 10mm dia.)	
Trace gravel	

<b>Color</b>	Dark grey
<b>Moisture</b>	Moist
<b>Consistency</b>	Firm
<b>Plasticity</b>	High plasticity
<b>Structure</b>	-
<b>Gradation</b>	-

**Torvane**

<b>Reading</b>	0.35
<b>Vane Size (s,m,l)</b>	m
<b>Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)</b>	34.3

**Pocket Penetrometer**

<b>Reading</b>	1	0.70
	2	0.75
	3	0.70
	Average	0.72
<b>Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)</b>		35.1

**Moisture Content**

<b>Tare ID</b>	P10
<b>Mass tare (g)</b>	8.3
<b>Mass wet + tare (g)</b>	470.6
<b>Mass dry + tare (g)</b>	304.4
<b>Moisture %</b>	56.1%

**Unit Weight**

<b>Bulk Weight (g)</b>	1152.10
------------------------	---------

<b>Length (mm)</b>	1	150.91
	2	150.83
	3	150.90
	4	150.88
<b>Average Length (m)</b>		0.151

<b>Diam. (mm)</b>	1	72.38
	2	71.83
	3	72.08
	4	72.63
<b>Average Diameter (m)</b>		0.072

<b>Volume (m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	6.18E-04
<b>Bulk Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	18.3
<b>Bulk Unit Weight (pcf)</b>	116.3
<b>Dry Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	11.7
<b>Dry Unit Weight (pcf)</b>	74.5



**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-04  
**Sample #** T08  
**Depth (m)** 6.1 - 6.7  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 21-Nov-13  
**Technician** HA

Unconfined Strength

	kPa	ksf
<b>Max <math>q_u</math></b>	90.0	1.9
<b>Max <math>S_u</math></b>	45.0	0.9

Specimen Data

**Description** Clay - Silty, Trace silt inclusions (< 10mm dia.), Trace gravel, Dark grey, Moist, Firm, High plasticity

<b>Length</b>	150.9	(mm)	<b>Moisture %</b>	56%	
<b>Diameter</b>	72.2	(mm)	<b>Bulk Unit Wt.</b>	18.3	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>L/D Ratio</b>	2.1		<b>Dry Unit Wt.</b>	11.7	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Initial Area</b>	0.00410	(m <sup>2</sup> )	<b>Liquid Limit</b>	-	
<b>Load Rate</b>	1.00	(%/min)	<b>Plastic Limit</b>	-	
			<b>Plasticity Index</b>	-	

Undrained Shear Strength Tests

Torvane

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	kPa	ksf
tsf		
0.35	34.3	0.72
<b>Vane Size</b>		
m		

Pocket Penetrometer

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	kPa	ksf
tsf		
0.70	34.3	0.72
0.75	36.8	0.77
0.70	34.3	0.72
<b>0.72</b>	<b>35.2</b>	<b>0.73</b>

Failure Geometry

Sketch:





Photo:





Project No. 0115 004 00  
 Client Associated Engineering  
 Project Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

Unconfined Compression Test Graph



Unconfined Compression Test Data

Deformation Dial Reading	Load Ring Dial Reading	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
0	0	0.0000	0.00	0.004098	0.0	0.00	0.00
10	7	0.2540	0.17	0.004104	22.9	5.58	2.79
20	16	0.5080	0.34	0.004111	52.4	12.74	6.37
30	26	0.7620	0.51	0.004118	85.7	20.81	10.41
40	36	1.0160	0.67	0.004125	118.7	28.77	14.38
50	44	1.2700	0.84	0.004132	145.1	35.10	17.55
60	51	1.5240	1.01	0.004139	168.1	40.62	20.31
70	58	1.7780	1.18	0.004146	191.2	46.12	23.06
80	66	2.0320	1.35	0.004153	217.6	52.39	26.20
90	72	2.2860	1.52	0.004161	237.4	57.06	28.53
100	78	2.5400	1.68	0.004168	257.2	61.70	30.85
110	85	2.7940	1.85	0.004175	280.2	67.12	33.56
120	91	3.0480	2.02	0.004182	300.0	71.74	35.87
130	96	3.3020	2.19	0.004189	316.5	75.56	37.78
140	101	3.5560	2.36	0.004196	333.1	79.37	39.69
150	105	3.8100	2.53	0.004204	346.6	82.44	41.22
160	109	4.0640	2.69	0.004211	360.0	85.49	42.74
170	112	4.3180	2.86	0.004218	370.1	87.75	43.87
180	115	4.5720	3.03	0.004226	380.2	89.98	44.99
190	114	4.8260	3.20	0.004233	376.9	89.03	44.51
200	112	5.0800	3.37	0.004240	370.1	87.29	43.64
210	109	5.3340	3.54	0.004248	360.0	84.75	42.37
220	105	5.5880	3.70	0.004255	346.6	81.45	40.72
230	100	5.8420	3.87	0.004263	329.7	77.35	38.67



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
1712 St. James Street  
Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435

**Unconfined Compressive Strength**  
**ASTM D2166**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

Unconfined Compression Test Data (cont'd)

Elapsed Time (s)	Axial Disp. (mm)	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
240	92	6.0960	4.0403	0.004270	303.3	71.03	35.52
250	85	6.3500	4.21	0.004278	280.2	65.51	32.76
260	78	6.6040	4.38	0.004285	257.2	60.01	30.01
270	72	6.8580	4.55	0.004293	237.4	55.30	27.65
280	63	7.1120	4.71	0.004300	207.7	48.31	24.15
290	51	7.3660	4.88	0.004308	168.1	39.03	19.52
300	44	7.6200	5.05	0.004316	145.1	33.61	16.81



**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-04  
**Sample #** T13  
**Depth (m)** 9.1 - 9.8  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 21-Nov-13  
**Technician** Hachem Ahmed

**Tube Extraction**

**Recovery (mm)** 450

**Bottom - 9.8 m**

**9.1 m - Top**

PP Tv  Visual  Moisture	Qu  Y <sub>Bulk</sub>	
110 mm	170 mm	190 mm

**Visual Classification**

<b>Material</b>	Clay
<b>Composition</b>	Silty
Trace silt inclusions	
Trace gravel	

<b>Color</b>	Dark grey
<b>Moisture</b>	Moist
<b>Consistency</b>	Firm
<b>Plasticity</b>	High plasticity
<b>Structure</b>	-
<b>Gradation</b>	-

<b>Torvane</b>	
Reading	0.25
<b>Vane Size (s,m,l)</b>	m
<b>Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)</b>	24.5

<b>Pocket Penetrometer</b>	
Reading	1 0.60
	2 0.50
	3 0.80
	Average 0.63
<b>Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)</b>	31.1

**Moisture Content**

<b>Tare ID</b>	K22
<b>Mass tare (g)</b>	8.5
<b>Mass wet + tare (g)</b>	462.8
<b>Mass dry + tare (g)</b>	351.3
<b>Moisture %</b>	32.5%

**Unit Weight**

<b>Bulk Weight (g)</b>	1196.70
<b>Length (mm)</b>	1 152.25
	2 152.31
	3 152.35
	4 152.39
<b>Average Length (m)</b>	0.152

<b>Diam. (mm)</b>	1 71.81
	2 72.71
	3 72.43
	4 72.32
<b>Average Diameter (m)</b>	0.072

<b>Volume (m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	6.26E-04
<b>Bulk Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	18.8
<b>Bulk Unit Weight (pcf)</b>	119.4
<b>Dry Unit Weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	14.2
<b>Dry Unit Weight (pcf)</b>	90.1

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

**Test Hole** TH13-04  
**Sample #** T13  
**Depth (m)** 9.1 - 9.8  
**Sample Date** 15-Nov-13  
**Test Date** 21-Nov-13  
**Technician** Hachem Ahmed

Unconfined Strength

	kPa	ksf
<b>Max <math>q_u</math></b>	78.3	1.6
<b>Max <math>S_u</math></b>	39.1	0.8

Specimen Data

**Description** Silty clay - trace silt inclusions, trace gravel, dark grey, moist, firm, high plasticity

<b>Length</b>	152.3	(mm)	<b>Moisture %</b>	33%	
<b>Diameter</b>	72.3	(mm)	<b>Bulk Unit Wt.</b>	18.8	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>L/D Ratio</b>	2.1		<b>Dry Unit Wt.</b>	14.2	(kN/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>Initial Area</b>	0.00411	(m <sup>2</sup> )	<b>Liquid Limit</b>	-	
<b>Load Rate</b>	1.00	(%/min)	<b>Plastic Limit</b>	-	
			<b>Plasticity Index</b>	-	

Undrained Shear Strength Tests

Torvane

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	kPa	ksf
tsf		
0.25	24.5	0.51
<b>Vane Size</b>		
m		

Pocket Penetrometer

Reading	Undrained Shear Strength	
	kPa	ksf
tsf		
0.60	29.4	0.61
0.50	24.5	0.51
0.80	39.2	0.82
<b>0.63</b>	<b>31.1</b>	<b>0.65</b>

Failure Geometry

Sketch:





Photo:



Project No. 0115 004 00  
 Client Associated Engineering  
 Project Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

Unconfined Compression Test Graph



Unconfined Compression Test Data

Deformation Dial Reading	Load Ring Dial Reading	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
0	0	0.0000	0.00	0.004107	0.0	0.00	0.00
10	3	0.2540	0.17	0.004114	9.8	2.38	1.19
20	11	0.5080	0.33	0.004121	36.0	8.73	4.37
30	19	0.7620	0.50	0.004128	62.2	15.07	7.54
40	27	1.0160	0.67	0.004135	89.0	21.53	10.76
50	29	1.2700	0.83	0.004142	95.6	23.08	11.54
60	37	1.5240	1.00	0.004149	122.0	29.40	14.70
70	54	1.7780	1.17	0.004156	178.0	42.83	21.42
80	60	2.0320	1.33	0.004163	197.8	47.52	23.76
90	62	2.2860	1.50	0.004170	204.4	49.01	24.51
100	73	2.5400	1.67	0.004177	240.7	57.62	28.81
110	77	2.7940	1.83	0.004184	253.9	60.67	30.34
120	82	3.0480	2.00	0.004191	270.4	64.50	32.25
130	86	3.3020	2.17	0.004199	283.5	67.53	33.77
140	90	3.5560	2.33	0.004206	296.7	70.56	35.28
150	94	3.8100	2.50	0.004213	309.9	73.56	36.78
160	95	4.0640	2.67	0.004220	313.2	74.22	37.11
170	97	4.3180	2.83	0.004227	319.8	75.66	37.83
180	99	4.5720	3.00	0.004235	326.4	77.08	38.54
190	100	4.8260	3.17	0.004242	329.7	77.73	38.86
200	100	5.0800	3.33	0.004249	329.7	77.59	38.80
210	101	5.3340	3.50	0.004257	333.1	78.25	39.13
220	101	5.5880	3.67	0.004264	333.1	78.12	39.06
230	101	5.8420	3.84	0.004271	333.1	77.98	38.99



www.trekgeotechnical.ca  
1712 St. James Street  
Winnipeg, MB R3H 0L3  
Tel: 204.975.9433 Fax: 204.975.9435

**Unconfined Compressive Strength**  
**ASTM D2166**

**Project No.** 0115 004 00  
**Client** Associated Engineering  
**Project** Detailed Design North Kildonan Feedermain

Unconfined Compression Test Data (cont'd)

Elapsed Time (s)	Axial Disp. (mm)	Deflection (mm)	Axial Strain (%)	Corrected Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Axial Load (N)	Compressive Stress, q <sub>u</sub> (kPa)	Shear Stress, S <sub>u</sub> (kPa)
240	101	6.0960	4.0020	0.004279	333.1	77.85	38.92
250	101	6.3500	4.17	0.004286	333.1	77.71	38.86
260	100	6.6040	4.34	0.004294	329.7	76.79	38.39
270	100	6.8580	4.50	0.004301	329.7	76.65	38.33
280	99	7.1120	4.67	0.004309	326.4	75.76	37.88
290	98	7.3660	4.84	0.004316	323.1	74.86	37.43
300	98	7.6200	5.00	0.004324	323.1	74.73	37.37
310	97	7.8740	5.17	0.004331	319.8	73.84	36.92
320	96	8.1280	5.34	0.004339	316.5	72.95	36.48
330	95	8.3820	5.50	0.004347	313.2	72.05	36.03
340	93	8.6360	5.67	0.004354	306.6	70.42	35.21
350	91	8.8900	5.84	0.004362	300.0	68.78	34.39
360	89	9.1440	6.00	0.004370	293.4	67.15	33.58
370	86	9.3980	6.17	0.004378	283.5	64.77	32.38
380	82	9.6520	6.34	0.004385	270.4	61.65	30.83
390	79	9.9060	6.50	0.004393	260.4	59.28	29.64
400	75	10.1600	6.67	0.004401	247.3	56.19	28.09

## **Appendix D**

### **Unconfined Compressing Testing Results (bedrock cores)**

---





TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC.  
19-6104-3

LABORATORY TESTING RESULTS  
DECEMBER 2013

DRILL HOLE NUMBER	SAMPLE #	DEPTH		COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH		MATERIAL
		FROM	TO	C <sub>u</sub>	Strain	
		(FT)	(FT)	(MPa)	(%)	
TH13-01	CB57	65' 4"	66'	49.1	0.056	Limestone
	CB64	99' 9"	100' 5"	31.2	0.042	Limestone
	CB65	101' 4"	102' 2"	21.8	0.045	Limestone
	CB67	114'	114' 11"	33.1	0.066	Limestone
TH13-05	CB72	62' 9"	63' 6"	39.5	0.048	Limestone
	CB74	71' 5"	72' 4"	39.5	0.081	Limestone
	CB79	97' 4"	98' 3"	11.9	0.037	Limestone



THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC  
FILE NUMBER : 19-6104-3


REPORT DATE: Dec 4/13  
REPORT NUMBER: UC13-1c

Unconfined Compressive Strengths

TEST DATE: Dec 4/13  
SAMPLE: TH13-01, CB57, @ 65'-4" to 66'  
DESCRIPTION: Limestone, massive.

SPECIMEN DETAILS:

Wet Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2487  
Dry Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2478  
Moisture Content (%): 0.4





THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC  
FILE NUMBER : 19-6104-3


REPORT DATE: Dec 4/13  
REPORT NUMBER: UC13-4c

Unconfined Compressive Strengths

TEST DATE: Dec 4/13  
SAMPLE: TH13-01, CB64, @ 99'-9" to 100'-5"  
DESCRIPTION: Limestone, nodular.

SPECIMEN DETAILS:

Wet Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2561  
Dry Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2535  
Moisture Content (%): 1.0





THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC  
FILE NUMBER : 19-6104-3


REPORT DATE: Dec 4/13  
REPORT NUMBER: UC13-2c

Unconfined Compressive Strengths

TEST DATE: Dec 4/13  
SAMPLE: TH13-01, CB65, @ 101'-4" to 102'-2"  
DESCRIPTION: Limestone, nodular.

SPECIMEN DETAILS:

Wet Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2305  
Dry Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2206  
Moisture Content (%): 4.5





THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC  
FILE NUMBER : 19-6104-3


REPORT DATE: Dec 4/13  
REPORT NUMBER: UC13-3c

Unconfined Compressive Strengths

TEST DATE: Dec 4/13  
SAMPLE: TH13-01, CB67, @ 114' to 114'-11"  
DESCRIPTION: Limestone, nodular.

SPECIMEN DETAILS:

Wet Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2547  
Dry Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2502  
Moisture Content (%): 1.8





THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC  
FILE NUMBER : 19-6104-3



REPORT DATE: Dec 4/13  
REPORT NUMBER: UC13-5c

Unconfined Compressive Strengths

TEST DATE: Dec 4/13  
SAMPLE: TH13-05, CB72, @ 62'-9" to 63'-6"  
DESCRIPTION: Limestone, massive.

SPECIMEN DETAILS:

Wet Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2647  
Dry Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2633  
Moisture Content (%): 0.6





THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC  
FILE NUMBER : 19-6104-3


REPORT DATE: Dec 4/13  
REPORT NUMBER: UC13-6c

Unconfined Compressive Strengths

TEST DATE: Dec 4/13  
SAMPLE: TH13-05, CB74, @ 71'-5" to 72'-4"  
DESCRIPTION: Limestone, massive.

SPECIMEN DETAILS:

Wet Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2534  
Dry Density (kg/m<sup>3</sup>): 2496  
Moisture Content (%): 1.5





# THURBER ENGINEERING LTD.

## UNCONFINED COMPRESSION TEST REPORT

TREK GEOTECHNICAL INC  
FILE NUMBER : 19-6104-3

REPORT DATE: Dec 4/13  
REPORT NUMBER: UC13-7c

### Unconfined Compressive Strengths

TEST DATE: Dec 4/13  
SAMPLE: TH13-05, CB79, @ 97'-4" to 98'-3"  
DESCRIPTION: Limestone, nodular.

#### SPECIMEN DETAILS:

Wet Density ( $\text{kg/m}^3$ ): 2388  
Dry Density ( $\text{kg/m}^3$ ): 2256  
Moisture Content (%): 5.8

