



489-2021 ADDENDUM 3

INVESTMENT PLANNING AUTOMATION

URGENT

**PLEASE FORWARD THIS DOCUMENT TO
WHOEVER IS IN POSSESSION OF THE
BID/PROPOSAL**

ISSUED: September 28, 2021
BY: Jacqueline Frizado
TELEPHONE NO. 204 619-0002

**THIS ADDENDUM SHALL BE INCORPORATED
INTO THE BID/PROPOSAL AND SHALL FORM
A PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS**

Template Version: Add 2021-03-05

Please note the following and attached changes, corrections, additions, deletions, information and/or instructions in connection with the Bid/Proposal, and be governed accordingly. Failure to acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in Paragraph 10 of Form A: Bid/Proposal may render your Bid/Proposal non-responsive.

FORM A: BID/PROPOSAL

Replace: 498-2021_Addendum 1 Form A: Bid/Proposal with 489-2021 Addendum 3 Form A(R1):Bid/Proposal.

The following is a summary of changes incorporated in the replacement Form A: Bid/Proposal:

Form A(R1): Change in Paragraph 11

11. Time This offer shall be open for acceptance, binding and irrevocable for a period of one hundred sixty eight (168) Calendar Days following the Submission Deadline.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

- Q1: I may have missed this in the tender, but what is the project schedule Evaluation, Award, Project Start dates, and expected completion?
- A1: Refer to Form A (R1) Paragraph 11, The City intends to award the contract by March 31, 2022. Further to B13.5 and B13.6, the project schedule will be based on the submission provided by the proponent. The project start date will be determined with the shortlisted proponent before Award.
- Q2: There is a noted preference that "That Confidential Information be stored, transported, and transmitted ("Sited") in a secure jurisdiction". While it is subsequently outlined that "siting" in Canada is preferred, under what circumstances would The City consider siting in the US if necessary?
- A2: Refer to B18.5.2 (b), (c). The proposal should include the proposed alternative jurisdiction if not "Sited" in Canada and the City reserves the rights to assess the proposed alternative jurisdiction.
- Q3: There is a specified dollar figure noted for available funds - year 1 costs - license, services, etc. How did The City determine this dollar amount? There can be significant return on investment for these types of planning solutions. Since the City generally has a sizable portfolio of investments/infrastructure plans, and there is opportunity for significant savings with the right solution, was this in consideration for the funding allocation?
- A3: Refer to D3.4, the funds for Year 1 is \$100,000. The City can not disclose any further information.